

Existence And Uniqueness Of Solution For A Mixed-Type Fractional Differential Equation And Ulam-Hyers Stability*

Nora Ouagueni[†], Yacine Arioua[‡]

Received 2 July 2021

Abstract

In this paper, we have discussed a special type of nonlinear boundary value problems (BVPs) which involves both the right-sided Caputo-Katugampola (CK) and the left-sided Katugampola fractional derivatives (FDs). Based on some new techniques and some properties of the Mittag-Leffler functions, we have introduced a formula of the solution for the aforementioned problem. To study the existence and uniqueness results of the solution for this problem, we have applied some known fixed point theorems (i.e., Banach's contraction principle, Schauder's fixed point theorem, nonlinear alternative of Leray-Schauder type and Schaefer's fixed point theorem). We have also studied the Ulam-Hyers stability of this problem. To illustrate the theoretical results in this work, we have given two examples.

1 Introduction

In mathematical analysis, fractional calculus (FC) is a subject that studies different approaches of defining non-integer order derivatives (i.e., fractional differential calculus (FDC)) and integrals (i.e., fractional integral calculus (FIC)). For more details in the subject, the reader may refer to (Samko et al. 1993 [1], Podlubny 1999 [2], Kilbas et al. 2006 [3], Diethelm 2010 [4]).

Considering FC, classical integer order differential equations (IODEs) commonly known as ordinary DEs have been generalized to get fractional order differential equations (FODEs) or FDEs simply. FDEs find their applications in many different academic and research fields of engineering and science including biology, mathematical physics, control theory, bio- and bio-inspired engineering, fluid mechanics, and signals and systems.

In [5, 6, 7] Katugampola introduced a new fractional integro-differential operator which generalized both the Reimann-Liouville (RL) and Hadamard operators.

Almeida et al. in [8] proposed a Caputo-type modification of Katugampola fractional derivative (FD) of order $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ which represents in turn a generalization of the Caputo and Caputo-Hadamard FDs. This new FD was named as the Caputo-Katugampola (CK) FD. In the same paper, some fundamental results have been presented and proved. The authors have also developed an existence and uniqueness theorem for a BVP with dependence on the CKFD. Later, in [9] Ricardo Almeida has defined a CKFD of arbitrary real order $\alpha > 0$, and has studied its properties.

Different fixed-point theorems have been used by researchers to develop solutions and their existence for BVPs of FDEs (see [10, 11, 12])

The stability problem introduced by Ulam in [13] has attracted the attention and efforts of many famous researchers (see [14, 15]). Not long ago, Ulam-Hyers stability problem for FDEs has gained much research attention (see [16, 17, 18, 19]).

Recently, some BVPs involving nonlinear mixed FDEs have been studied (see [20, 21, 22, 23]).

In this paper, we investigate the existence and uniqueness of solution for the following BVP involving a nonlinear FDE with two different fractional derivatives

$${}^{CK}D_{1-}^{\beta, \rho} ({}^K D_{0+}^{\alpha, \rho} + \lambda) u(t) = f(t, u(t)), \quad t \in J = [0, 1], \quad (1)$$

*Mathematics Subject Classifications: 34A08, 34A37, 47H10.

[†]Laboratory for Pure and Applied Mathematics, University of M'sila, Bp 166 M'sila, Algeria

[‡]Department of Mathematics, Laboratory for Pure and Applied Mathematics, University of M'sila, Bp 166 M'sila, Algeria

with the boundary conditions

$$({}^K I_{0+}^{1-\alpha, \rho} u)(0) = u_0, \tag{2}$$

$$({}^K D_{0+}^{\alpha, \rho} + \lambda) u(1) = u_1, \tag{3}$$

where $\alpha, \beta, \alpha + \beta \in (0, 1), \lambda, \rho > 0, u_0, u_1 \in \mathbb{R}, {}^{CK} D_{1-}^{\beta, \rho}$ is the right CKFD of order β . ${}^K D_{0+}^{\alpha, \rho}$ is the left Katugampola FD of order α and ${}^K I_{0+}^{1-\alpha, \rho}$ is the Katugampola FI.

The rest of this paper is structured as follows. In section 2, we re-call some useful definitions, theorems and lemmas of FC. We also present some properties of classical and generalized Mittag-Leffler functions. In section 3, we give the formula of solution to the studied problem. This result plays a crucial role in the coming analysis throughout this paper. In section 4, we study the existence and uniqueness of solution to the problem (1)–(3) by using the Banach’s contraction principle, Schauder’s Fixed Point Theorem, Schaefer’s Fixed Point Theorem and the nonlinear alternative of Leray-Schauder type. In section 5, we present the Ulam-Hyers stability result for the nonlinear mixed FDE (1)–(3). We also give two examples to demonstrate our theoretical results. Finally, this paper is ended with a conclusion.

2 Background Materials and Preliminaries

In this section, we present the necessary definitions and notations from FC theory which will be used through the whole of this work. Let $J = [0, 1]$ be a finite interval of \mathbb{R} . We denote by $C([0, 1], \mathbb{R})$ the Banach space of all continuous functions $y : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with the norm

$$\|y\|_{\infty} = \sup_{t \in [0, 1]} |y(t)|,$$

where $y \in C([0, 1], \mathbb{R})$. We denote also by $C^n([0, 1], \mathbb{R})$ with $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ the set of mappings having n times continuously differentiable on J .

As in [3], for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and $c \in \mathbb{R}$, consider the space $X_c^p[0, 1]$ as follows

$$X_c^p[0, 1] = \left\{ y : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : \|y\|_{X_c^p} = \left(\int_0^1 |s^c y(s)|^p \frac{ds}{s} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty \right\},$$

for $1 \leq p < \infty, c \in \mathbb{R}$. For the case $p = \infty$,

$$\|y\|_{X_c^{\infty}} = \text{ess sup}_{0 \leq t \leq 1} [t^c |y(t)|], \quad c \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Now, we give some basic definitions and properties of the FC theory that we will need later in this work.

Definition 1 (Katugampola fractional integrals [5, 6, 9]) Let $a, b > 0$ be two reals, and $y : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be an integrable function. The left-sided and right-sided Katugampola fractional integrals of order $\alpha > 0$, and parameter $\rho > 0$ are defined respectively by

$$({}^K I_{a+}^{\alpha, \rho} y)(t) = \frac{\rho^{1-\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_a^t s^{\rho-1} (t^{\rho} - s^{\rho})^{\alpha-1} y(s) ds, \quad t > a,$$

and

$$({}^K I_{b-}^{\alpha, \rho} y)(t) = \frac{\rho^{1-\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_t^b s^{\rho-1} (s^{\rho} - t^{\rho})^{\alpha-1} y(s) ds, \quad t < b, \tag{4}$$

where $\Gamma(\cdot)$ is the Gamma function.

Definition 2 (Katugampola fractional derivatives [6, 9]) The left-sided and right-sided Katugampola fractional derivatives for a differential operator of order $\alpha > 0$ with dependence on a parameter $\rho > 0$ are defined respectively as

$$\begin{aligned} ({}^K D_{a^+}^{\alpha, \rho} y)(t) &= \left(t^{1-\rho} \frac{d}{dt} \right)^n {}^K I_{a^+}^{n-\alpha, \rho} y(t) \\ &= \frac{\rho^{1-n+\alpha}}{\Gamma(n-\alpha)} \left(t^{1-\rho} \frac{d}{dt} \right)^n \int_a^t s^{\rho-1} (t^\rho - s^\rho)^{n-\alpha-1} y(s) ds, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} ({}^K D_{b^-}^{\alpha, \rho} y)(t) &= \left(-t^{1-\rho} \frac{d}{dt} \right)^n {}^K I_{b^-}^{n-\alpha, \rho} y(t) \\ &= \frac{\rho^{1-n+\alpha}}{\Gamma(n-\alpha)} \left(-t^{1-\rho} \frac{d}{dt} \right)^n \int_t^b s^{\rho-1} (s^\rho - t^\rho)^{n-\alpha-1} y(s) ds, \end{aligned}$$

where $n - 1 < \alpha < n$, $n = [\alpha] + 1$ and $[\alpha]$ denotes the integer part of α .

Definition 3 (Caputo-Katugampola fractional derivatives [8]) Let $0 < a < b < \infty$, $y : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be an integrable function, and $\gamma \in (0, 1)$ and $\rho > 0$ are two fixed reals. The left-sided and right-sided Caputo-Katugampola fractional derivatives of order γ are defined respectively by

$$\begin{aligned} {}^{CK} D_{a^+}^{\gamma, \rho} y(t) &= {}^K D_{a^+}^{\gamma, \rho} [y(t) - y(a)] \\ &= \frac{\rho^\gamma}{\Gamma(1-\gamma)} \left(t^{1-\rho} \frac{d}{dt} \right) \int_a^t \tau^{\rho-1} (t^\rho - \tau^\rho)^{-\gamma} [y(\tau) - y(a)] d\tau, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} {}^{CK} D_{b^-}^{\gamma, \rho} y(t) &= {}^K D_{b^-}^{\gamma, \rho} [y(t) - y(b)] \\ &= \frac{-\rho^\gamma}{\Gamma(1-\gamma)} \left(t^{1-\rho} \frac{d}{dt} \right) \int_t^b \tau^{\rho-1} (\tau^\rho - t^\rho)^{-\gamma} [y(\tau) - y(b)] d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 1 (See [8]) Let $J = [a, b]$, $\gamma, \rho > 0$ and $y \in C(J, \mathbb{R}) \cap C^1(J, \mathbb{R})$. Then, the Caputo-Katugampola fractional deferential equation

$${}^{CK} D_{b^-}^{\gamma, \rho} y(t) = 0,$$

has solutions

$$y(t) = c_0 + c_1 \left(\frac{b^\rho - t^\rho}{\rho} \right) + c_2 \left(\frac{b^\rho - t^\rho}{\rho} \right)^2 + \dots + c_{n-1} \left(\frac{b^\rho - t^\rho}{\rho} \right)^{n-1},$$

where $c_i \in \mathbb{R}$, $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n - 1$ and $n = [\alpha] + 1$.

Theorem 1 (See [9]) Given a function $y \in C^n [a, b]$, we have

$${}^K I_{b^-}^{\gamma, \rho} {}^{CK} D_{b^-}^{\gamma, \rho} y(t) = y(t) - \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{\rho^{-j} (-1)^j}{j!} (b^\rho - t^\rho)^j y^{(j)}(b).$$

If $0 < \gamma < 1$, then

$${}^K I_{b^-}^{\gamma, \rho} {}^{CK} D_{b^-}^{\gamma, \rho} y(t) = y(t) - y(b).$$

Theorem 2 (See [24]) The Cauchy problem

$$\begin{cases} ({}^K D_{0^+}^{\mu, \rho} - \lambda) u(t) = f(t), & t > 0, \quad 0 < \mu \leq 1, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R}, \\ ({}^K I_{0^+}^{1-\mu, \rho} u)(0) = k, & k \in \mathbb{R}, \end{cases}$$

has the solution

$$u(t) = k \left(\frac{t^\rho}{\rho}\right)^{\mu-1} E_{\mu,\mu} \left(\lambda \left(\frac{t^\rho}{\rho}\right)^\mu\right) + \int_0^t \left(\frac{t^\rho - \tau^\rho}{\rho}\right)^{\mu-1} E_{\mu,\mu} \left(\lambda \left(\frac{t^\rho - \tau^\rho}{\rho}\right)^\mu\right) \tau^{\rho-1} f(\tau) d\tau.$$

Definition 4 Let E be a Banach space. We say that a part P in $C(E)$ is equicontinuous if

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0, \forall u, v \in E, \forall A \in P, \|u - v\| < \delta \Rightarrow \|A(u) - A(v)\| < \varepsilon.$$

Definition 5 We say $A : E \rightarrow E$ is Completely continuous if for any bounded subset P of E , the set $A(P)$ is relatively compact.

Theorem 3 (Arzelà-Ascoli's Theorem [26]) Let $B \subset C(E, \mathbb{R}^n)$, ($E = [a, b] \subset \mathbb{R}$). B is relatively compact (i.e., \overline{B} is compact) if and only if

1. B is uniformly bounded.
2. B is equicontinuous.

Recall that a function f is uniformly bounded in B if there exists a constant $M > 0$ such that

$$\|f\| = \sup_{x \in E} |f(x)| \leq M, \forall f \in B.$$

Theorem 4 (Banach's Fixed Point Theorem [27]) Let X be a Banach space and $Q : X \rightarrow X$ is a contraction mapping. Then Q has a fixed point i.e.

$$\exists! x \in X : Qx = x.$$

Theorem 5 (Schauder's Fixed Point Theorem [28]) Let X be a Banach space, and let P be a closed, convex and non-empty subset of X . Let $T : P \rightarrow P$ be a continuous mapping such that $T(P)$ is a relatively compact subset of X . Then T has at least one fixed point in P .

Theorem 6 (Nonlinear alternative of Leray-Schauder type [28]) Let X be a Banach space with $P \subset X$ be a closed and convex. U be an open subset of P with $0 \in U$. Assume that $A : \overline{U} \rightarrow P$ is a continuous, compact (that is, $A(\overline{U})$ is a relatively compact subset of P) map. Then either

- (i) A has a fixed point in \overline{U} ; or
- (ii) there is a point $u \in \partial U$ and $\sigma \in (0, 1)$ with $u = \sigma A(u)$.

Properties of Mittag-Leffler functions

Here, we present some properties of the Mittag-Leffler functions.

Definition 6 (See [2, 3]) For $\sigma, \gamma > 0, z \in \mathbb{R}$, the classical Mittag-Leffler function $E_\sigma(z)$ and the generalized Mittag-Leffler function $E_{\sigma,\gamma}(z)$ are defined by

$$E_\sigma(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^k}{\Gamma(\sigma k + 1)} \tag{5}$$

and

$$E_{\sigma,\gamma}(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^k}{\Gamma(\sigma k + \gamma)} \tag{6}$$

It is clear from these two equations that $E_{\sigma,1}(z) = E_\sigma(z)$.

Lemma 2 (see [20]) *Let $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, $\theta > \alpha$ be arbitrary. The functions E_α , $E_{\alpha,\alpha}$ and $E_{\alpha,\theta}$ are nonnegative and have the following properties:*

$$(i) \text{ For any } t \in J, E_\alpha(-\lambda t^\alpha) \leq 1, E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-\lambda t^\alpha) \leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \text{ and } E_{\alpha,\theta}(-\lambda t^\alpha) \leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\theta)}.$$

(ii) For any $t_1, t_2 \in J$,

$$|E_\alpha(-\lambda t_2^\alpha) - E_\alpha(-\lambda t_1^\alpha)| = O(|t_2 - t_1|^\alpha), \text{ as } t_2 \rightarrow t_1,$$

$$|E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-\lambda t_2^\alpha) - E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-\lambda t_1^\alpha)| = O(|t_2 - t_1|^\alpha), \text{ as } t_2 \rightarrow t_1,$$

$$|E_{\alpha,\alpha+1}(-\lambda t_2^\alpha) - E_{\alpha,\alpha+1}(-\lambda t_1^\alpha)| = O(|t_2 - t_1|^\alpha), \text{ as } t_2 \rightarrow t_1.$$

3 Solutions for BVP

In this section, we give the formula of the solution to the problem (1)–(3). We start by solving the following linear problem

$${}^{CK}D_{1-}^{\beta,\rho} ({}^K D_{0+}^{\alpha,\rho} + \lambda) u(t) = h(t), \quad t \in J = [0, 1], \quad (7)$$

with

$$\left({}^K I_{0+}^{1-\alpha,\rho} u \right) (0) = u_0, \quad (8)$$

$$\left({}^K D_{0+}^{\alpha,\rho} + \lambda \right) u(1) = u_1. \quad (9)$$

Lemma 3 *Let $\alpha, \beta, \rho, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ be such that $0 < \alpha, \beta < 1$ and $\lambda, \rho > 0$. For a given $h \in C([0, 1], \mathbb{R})$, the solution u to the linear BVP (7)–(9) is given by*

$$u(t) = u_0 \left(\frac{t^\rho}{\rho} \right)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha} \left(-\lambda \left(\frac{t^\rho}{\rho} \right)^\alpha \right) + u_1 \left(\frac{t^\rho}{\rho} \right)^\alpha E_{\alpha,\alpha+1} \left(-\lambda \left(\frac{t^\rho}{\rho} \right)^\alpha \right) + \int_0^1 K(t, \tau) h(\tau) d\tau, \quad (10)$$

where

$$K(t, \tau) = \frac{\tau^{\rho-1}}{\Gamma(\beta)} \times \begin{cases} \int_0^\tau \left(\frac{t^\rho - s^\rho}{\rho} \right)^{\alpha-1} \left(\frac{\tau^\rho - s^\rho}{\rho} \right)^{\beta-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha} \left(-\lambda \left(\frac{t^\rho - s^\rho}{\rho} \right)^\alpha \right) s^{\rho-1} ds, & 0 < \tau < t \leq 1, \\ \int_0^t \left(\frac{t^\rho - s^\rho}{\rho} \right)^{\alpha-1} \left(\frac{\tau^\rho - s^\rho}{\rho} \right)^{\beta-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha} \left(-\lambda \left(\frac{t^\rho - s^\rho}{\rho} \right)^\alpha \right) s^{\rho-1} ds, & 0 < t < \tau \leq 1. \end{cases} \quad (11)$$

Proof. First, by applying the right-sided Katugampola fractional integral ${}^K I_{1-}^{\beta,\rho}$ defined by (4) to both sides of equation (7), using Theorem 1 and (9), we get

$$\left({}^K D_{0+}^{\alpha,\rho} + \lambda \right) u(t) = u_1 + \left({}^K I_{1-}^{\beta,\rho} h \right) (t). \quad (12)$$

Following the same idea of Theorem 2, with (8), equation (12) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} u(t) &= u_0 \left(\frac{t^\rho}{\rho} \right)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha} \left(-\lambda \left(\frac{t^\rho}{\rho} \right)^\alpha \right) \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \left(\frac{t^\rho - s^\rho}{\rho} \right)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha} \left(-\lambda \left(\frac{t^\rho - s^\rho}{\rho} \right)^\alpha \right) [u_1 + {}^K I_{1-}^{\beta,\rho} h(s)] s^{\rho-1} ds, \end{aligned}$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} u(t) &= u_0 \left(\frac{t^\rho}{\rho} \right)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha} \left(-\lambda \left(\frac{t^\rho}{\rho} \right)^\alpha \right) \\ &\quad + u_1 \int_0^t \left(\frac{t^\rho - s^\rho}{\rho} \right)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha} \left(-\lambda \left(\frac{t^\rho - s^\rho}{\rho} \right)^\alpha \right) s^{\rho-1} ds \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} \int_0^t \left(\frac{t^\rho - s^\rho}{\rho}\right)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha} \left(-\lambda \left(\frac{t^\rho - s^\rho}{\rho}\right)^\alpha\right) s^{\rho-1} \left[\int_s^1 \left(\frac{\tau^\rho - s^\rho}{\rho}\right)^{\beta-1} \tau^{\rho-1} h(s) d\tau \right] ds.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{13}$$

Applying Fubini's Theorem, (13) can be re-written as

$$\begin{aligned}
 u(t) & = u_0 \left(\frac{t^\rho}{\rho}\right)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha} \left(-\lambda \left(\frac{t^\rho}{\rho}\right)^\alpha\right) + u_1 \left(\frac{t^\rho}{\rho}\right)^\alpha E_{\alpha,\alpha+1} \left(-\lambda \left(\frac{t^\rho}{\rho}\right)^\alpha\right) \\
 & + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} \int_0^t \tau^{\rho-1} h(\tau) \int_0^\tau \left(\frac{t^\rho - s^\rho}{\rho}\right)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha} \left(-\lambda \left(\frac{t^\rho - s^\rho}{\rho}\right)^\alpha\right) \left(\frac{\tau^\rho - s^\rho}{\rho}\right)^{\beta-1} s^{\rho-1} ds d\tau \\
 & + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} \int_t^1 \tau^{\rho-1} h(\tau) \int_0^t \left(\frac{t^\rho - s^\rho}{\rho}\right)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha} \left(-\lambda \left(\frac{t^\rho - s^\rho}{\rho}\right)^\alpha\right) \left(\frac{\tau^\rho - s^\rho}{\rho}\right)^{\beta-1} s^{\rho-1} ds d\tau,
 \end{aligned}$$

which can be simplified to

$$\begin{aligned}
 u(t) & = u_0 \left(\frac{t^\rho}{\rho}\right)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha} \left(-\lambda \left(\frac{t^\rho}{\rho}\right)^\alpha\right) + u_1 \left(\frac{t^\rho}{\rho}\right)^\alpha E_{\alpha,\alpha+1} \left(-\lambda \left(\frac{t^\rho}{\rho}\right)^\alpha\right) \\
 & + \int_0^1 K(t, \tau) h(\tau) d\tau.
 \end{aligned}$$

The proof is finished. ■

Let us define

$$K(t, \tau) = \begin{cases} K_1(t, \tau), & 0 < \tau < t \leq 1, \\ K_2(t, \tau), & 0 < t < \tau \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 K_1(t, \tau) & = \frac{\tau^{\rho-1}}{\Gamma(\beta)} \int_0^\tau \left(\frac{t^\rho - s^\rho}{\rho}\right)^{\alpha-1} \left(\frac{\tau^\rho - s^\rho}{\rho}\right)^{\beta-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha} \left(-\lambda \left(\frac{t^\rho - s^\rho}{\rho}\right)^\alpha\right) s^{\rho-1} ds, \\
 K_2(t, \tau) & = \frac{\tau^{\rho-1}}{\Gamma(\beta)} \int_0^t \left(\frac{t^\rho - s^\rho}{\rho}\right)^{\alpha-1} \left(\frac{\tau^\rho - s^\rho}{\rho}\right)^{\beta-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha} \left(-\lambda \left(\frac{t^\rho - s^\rho}{\rho}\right)^\alpha\right) s^{\rho-1} ds.
 \end{aligned}$$

In the next lemma, we present some properties of the function $K(t, \tau)$, that form the basis of our main work.

Lemma 4 For $\alpha, \beta \in (0, 1)$, $\rho, \lambda > 0$, the function $K(t, \tau)$ mentioned in Lemma 3 satisfies the following estimates.

1) The function $K(t, \tau)$ is nonnegative.

2)

$$|K_1(t, \tau)| \leq \frac{\tau^{\rho-1} \left(\frac{t^\rho - \tau^\rho}{\rho}\right)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\beta + 1)\Gamma(\alpha)}, \quad 0 < \tau < t \leq 1. \tag{14}$$

3)

$$|K_2(t, \tau)| \leq \frac{\tau^{\rho-1} \left(\frac{\tau^\rho - t^\rho}{\rho}\right)^{\beta-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)\Gamma(\beta)}, \quad 0 < t < \tau \leq 1. \tag{15}$$

4)

$$\int_0^1 K(t, \tau) d\tau \leq \frac{\rho^\alpha + \rho^\beta}{\rho^{\alpha+\beta}\Gamma(\alpha + 1)\Gamma(\beta + 1)} = \Lambda_0. \tag{16}$$

Proof. 1) It is obvious that $K(t, \tau) \geq 0$.

2) For $0 < \tau < t \leq 1$, by Lemma 2, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & |K_1(t, \tau)| \\ &= \left| \frac{\tau^{\rho-1}}{\Gamma(\beta)} \int_0^\tau \left(\frac{t^\rho - s^\rho}{\rho} \right)^{\alpha-1} \left(\frac{\tau^\rho - s^\rho}{\rho} \right)^{\beta-1} E_{\alpha, \alpha} \left(-\lambda \left(\frac{t^\rho - s^\rho}{\rho} \right)^\alpha \right) s^{\rho-1} ds \right| \\ &\leq \frac{\tau^{\rho-1}}{\Gamma(\beta)\Gamma(\alpha)} \left| \int_0^\tau \left(\frac{t^\rho - s^\rho}{\rho} \right)^{\alpha-1} \left(\frac{\tau^\rho - s^\rho}{\rho} \right)^{\beta-1} s^{\rho-1} ds \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\tau \left(\frac{t^\rho - s^\rho}{\rho} \right)^{\alpha-1} \left(\frac{\tau^\rho - s^\rho}{\rho} \right)^{\beta-1} s^{\rho-1} ds \\ &\leq \left(\frac{t^\rho - \tau^\rho}{\rho} \right)^{\alpha-1} \int_0^\tau \left(\frac{\tau^\rho - s^\rho}{\rho} \right)^{\beta-1} s^{\rho-1} ds \\ &\leq \left(\frac{t^\rho - \tau^\rho}{\rho} \right)^{\alpha-1} \left[\frac{-1}{\beta\rho^\beta} \int_0^\tau \frac{d}{ds} (\tau^\rho - s^\rho)^\beta ds \right] \\ &\leq \left(\frac{t^\rho - \tau^\rho}{\rho} \right)^{\alpha-1} \left[\frac{-(\tau^\rho - s^\rho)^\beta}{\beta\rho^\beta} \right]_0^\tau \leq \frac{\left(\frac{\tau^\rho}{\rho} \right)^\beta}{\beta} \left(\frac{t^\rho - \tau^\rho}{\rho} \right)^{\alpha-1}, \end{aligned}$$

we see that

$$|K_1(t, \tau)| \leq \frac{\tau^{\rho-1}}{\Gamma(\beta)\Gamma(\alpha)} \frac{\left(\frac{\tau^\rho}{\rho} \right)^\beta}{\beta} \left(\frac{t^\rho - \tau^\rho}{\rho} \right)^{\alpha-1} \leq \frac{\tau^{\rho-1} \left(\frac{t^\rho - \tau^\rho}{\rho} \right)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\beta+1)\Gamma(\alpha)}.$$

3) For $0 < t < \tau \leq 1$, similarly with 2), by Lemma 2, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & |K_2(t, \tau)| \\ &= \left| \frac{\tau^{\rho-1}}{\Gamma(\beta)} \int_0^t \left(\frac{t^\rho - s^\rho}{\rho} \right)^{\alpha-1} \left(\frac{\tau^\rho - s^\rho}{\rho} \right)^{\beta-1} E_{\alpha, \alpha} \left(-\lambda \left(\frac{t^\rho - s^\rho}{\rho} \right)^\alpha \right) s^{\rho-1} ds \right| \\ &\leq \frac{\tau^{\rho-1}}{\Gamma(\beta)\Gamma(\alpha)} \left| \int_0^t \left(\frac{t^\rho - s^\rho}{\rho} \right)^{\alpha-1} \left(\frac{\tau^\rho - s^\rho}{\rho} \right)^{\beta-1} s^{\rho-1} ds \right|. \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^t \left(\frac{t^\rho - s^\rho}{\rho} \right)^{\alpha-1} \left(\frac{\tau^\rho - s^\rho}{\rho} \right)^{\beta-1} s^{\rho-1} ds \\ &\leq \left(\frac{\tau^\rho - t^\rho}{\rho} \right)^{\beta-1} \int_0^t \left(\frac{t^\rho - s^\rho}{\rho} \right)^{\alpha-1} s^{\rho-1} ds \\ &\leq \left(\frac{\tau^\rho - t^\rho}{\rho} \right)^{\beta-1} \left[\frac{-(t^\rho - s^\rho)^\alpha}{\alpha\rho^\alpha} \right]_0^t \\ &\leq \left(\frac{\tau^\rho - t^\rho}{\rho} \right)^{\beta-1} \left[\frac{t^{\rho\alpha}}{\alpha\rho^\alpha} \right] \leq \frac{\left(\frac{t^\rho}{\rho} \right)^\alpha}{\alpha} \left(\frac{\tau^\rho - t^\rho}{\rho} \right)^{\beta-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$|K_2(t, \tau)| \leq \frac{\tau^{\rho-1}}{\Gamma(\beta)\Gamma(\alpha)} \frac{\left(\frac{t^\rho}{\rho} \right)^\alpha}{\alpha} \left(\frac{\tau^\rho - t^\rho}{\rho} \right)^{\beta-1} \leq \frac{\left(\frac{\tau^\rho - t^\rho}{\rho} \right)^{\beta-1} \tau^{\rho-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)\Gamma(\beta)}.$$

4) By (14) and (15), we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 K(t, \tau) d\tau &= \int_0^t K_1(t, \tau) d\tau + \int_t^1 K_2(t, \tau) d\tau \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta + 1)\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t \left(\frac{t^\rho - \tau^\rho}{\rho}\right)^{\alpha-1} \tau^{\rho-1} d\tau \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)\Gamma(\beta)} \int_t^1 \left(\frac{\tau^\rho - t^\rho}{\rho}\right)^{\beta-1} \tau^{\rho-1} d\tau \\ &\leq \frac{t^{\rho\alpha}}{\rho^\alpha \Gamma(\beta + 1)\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} + \frac{(1 - t^\rho)^\beta}{\rho^\beta \Gamma(\alpha + 1)\Gamma(\beta + 1)} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\rho^\alpha \Gamma(\beta + 1)\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} + \frac{1}{\rho^\beta \Gamma(\alpha + 1)\Gamma(\beta + 1)} \\ &\leq \frac{\rho^\alpha + \rho^\beta}{\rho^{\alpha+\beta} \Gamma(\beta + 1)\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} = \Lambda_0. \end{aligned}$$

■

Lemma 5 *The following inequalities hold*

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \int_0^\tau \left[\left(\frac{t_1^\rho - s^\rho}{\rho}\right)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha} \left(-\lambda \left(\frac{t_1^\rho - s^\rho}{\rho}\right)^\alpha\right) - \left(\frac{t_2^\rho - s^\rho}{\rho}\right)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha} \left(-\lambda \left(\frac{t_2^\rho - s^\rho}{\rho}\right)^\alpha\right) \right] \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times \left(\frac{\tau^\rho - s^\rho}{\rho}\right)^{\beta-1} s^{\rho-1} ds \right| \leq O((t_2^\rho - t_1^\rho)^\alpha), \quad \text{for } 0 < \tau < t_1 < t_2 \leq 1, \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \int_0^{t_1} \left[\left(\frac{t_1^\rho - s^\rho}{\rho}\right)^{\alpha-1} - \left(\frac{t_2^\rho - s^\rho}{\rho}\right)^{\alpha-1} \right] \left(\frac{\tau^\rho - s^\rho}{\rho}\right)^{\beta-1} s^{\rho-1} ds \right| \\ &\leq \left(\frac{\tau^\rho - t_2^\rho}{\rho}\right)^{\beta-1} \cdot O((t_2^\rho - t_1^\rho)^\alpha), \quad \text{for } 0 < t_1 < t_2 < \tau \leq 1, \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \int_0^{t_1} \left[\left(\frac{t_1^\rho - s^\rho}{\rho}\right)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha} \left(-\lambda \left(\frac{t_1^\rho - s^\rho}{\rho}\right)^\alpha\right) - \left(\frac{t_2^\rho - s^\rho}{\rho}\right)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha} \left(-\lambda \left(\frac{t_2^\rho - s^\rho}{\rho}\right)^\alpha\right) \right] \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times \left(\frac{\tau^\rho - s^\rho}{\rho}\right)^{\beta-1} s^{\rho-1} ds \right| \leq \left[\left(\frac{\tau^\rho}{\rho}\right)^\beta - \left(\frac{\tau^\rho - t_1^\rho}{\rho}\right)^\beta \right] \cdot O((t_2^\rho - t_1^\rho)^\alpha), \quad \text{for } 0 < t_1 < \tau < t_2 \leq 1. \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

Proof. For $0 < \tau < t_1 < t_2 \leq 1$, it follows from Lemma 2 and the Mean Value Theorem that

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \left(\frac{t_1^\rho - s^\rho}{\rho}\right)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha} \left(-\lambda \left(\frac{t_1^\rho - s^\rho}{\rho}\right)^\alpha\right) - \left(\frac{t_2^\rho - s^\rho}{\rho}\right)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha} \left(-\lambda \left(\frac{t_2^\rho - s^\rho}{\rho}\right)^\alpha\right) \right| \\ &\leq \left| \left(\frac{t_1^\rho - s^\rho}{\rho}\right)^{\alpha-1} - \left(\frac{t_2^\rho - s^\rho}{\rho}\right)^{\alpha-1} \right| E_{\alpha,\alpha} \left(-\lambda \left(\frac{t_1^\rho - s^\rho}{\rho}\right)^\alpha\right) \\ &\quad + \left| E_{\alpha,\alpha} \left(-\lambda \left(\frac{t_1^\rho - s^\rho}{\rho}\right)^\alpha\right) - E_{\alpha,\alpha} \left(-\lambda \left(\frac{t_2^\rho - s^\rho}{\rho}\right)^\alpha\right) \right| \left(\frac{t_2^\rho - s^\rho}{\rho}\right)^{\alpha-1} \\ &\leq O((t_2^\rho - t_1^\rho)^\alpha), \end{aligned}$$

which yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_0^\tau \left[\left(\frac{t_1^\rho - s^\rho}{\rho} \right)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha} \left(-\lambda \left(\frac{t_1^\rho - s^\rho}{\rho} \right)^\alpha \right) - \left(\frac{t_2^\rho - s^\rho}{\rho} \right)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha} \left(-\lambda \left(\frac{t_2^\rho - s^\rho}{\rho} \right)^\alpha \right) \right] \right. \\ & \quad \left. \times \left(\frac{\tau^\rho - s^\rho}{\rho} \right)^{\beta-1} s^{\rho-1} ds \right| \\ & \leq \int_0^\tau \left(\frac{\tau^\rho - s^\rho}{\rho} \right)^{\beta-1} s^{\rho-1} ds \cdot O((t_2^\rho - t_1^\rho)^\alpha) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{\beta} \left(\frac{\tau^\rho}{\rho} \right)^\beta \cdot O((t_2^\rho - t_1^\rho)^\alpha) \leq O((t_2^\rho - t_1^\rho)^\alpha). \end{aligned}$$

For $0 < t_1 < t_2 < \tau \leq 1$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_0^{t_1} \left[\left(\frac{t_1^\rho - s^\rho}{\rho} \right)^{\alpha-1} - \left(\frac{t_2^\rho - s^\rho}{\rho} \right)^{\alpha-1} \right] \left(\frac{\tau^\rho - s^\rho}{\rho} \right)^{\beta-1} s^{\rho-1} ds \right| \\ & \leq \left| \int_0^{t_1} \left[\left(\frac{t_1^\rho - s^\rho}{\rho} \right)^{\alpha-1} - \left(\frac{t_2^\rho - s^\rho}{\rho} \right)^{\alpha-1} \right] s^{\rho-1} ds \right| \left(\frac{\tau^\rho - t_1^\rho}{\rho} \right)^{\beta-1}. \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\left(\frac{t_1^\rho - s^\rho}{\rho} \right)^{\alpha-1} - \left(\frac{t_2^\rho - s^\rho}{\rho} \right)^{\alpha-1} \right] s^{\rho-1} \\ & = \rho^{1-\alpha} s^{\rho-1} \left[(t_1^\rho - s^\rho)^{\alpha-1} - (t_2^\rho - s^\rho)^{\alpha-1} \right] = \frac{-1}{\alpha \rho^\alpha} \frac{d}{ds} [(t_1^\rho - s^\rho)^\alpha - (t_2^\rho - s^\rho)^\alpha]. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_0^{t_1} \left[\left(\frac{t_1^\rho - s^\rho}{\rho} \right)^{\alpha-1} - \left(\frac{t_2^\rho - s^\rho}{\rho} \right)^{\alpha-1} \right] s^{\rho-1} ds \right| \left(\frac{\tau^\rho - t_1^\rho}{\rho} \right)^{\beta-1} \\ & \leq \frac{1}{\alpha \rho^\alpha} \left| \int_0^{t_1} \frac{d}{ds} [(t_1^\rho - s^\rho)^\alpha - (t_2^\rho - s^\rho)^\alpha] ds \right| \left(\frac{\tau^\rho - t_1^\rho}{\rho} \right)^{\beta-1} \\ & \leq \frac{1}{\alpha \rho^\alpha} [t_2^{\rho\alpha} - t_1^{\rho\alpha} + (t_2^\rho - t_1^\rho)^\alpha] \left(\frac{\tau^\rho - t_1^\rho}{\rho} \right)^{\beta-1} \\ & \leq \left(\frac{\tau^\rho - t_2^\rho}{\rho} \right)^{\beta-1} \cdot O((t_2^\rho - t_1^\rho)^\alpha). \end{aligned}$$

In a similar way as for (17) and (18), for $0 < t_1 < \tau < t_2 \leq 1$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_0^{t_1} \left[\left(\frac{t_1^\rho - s^\rho}{\rho} \right)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha} \left(-\lambda \left(\frac{t_1^\rho - s^\rho}{\rho} \right)^\alpha \right) - \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. \left(\frac{t_2^\rho - s^\rho}{\rho} \right)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha} \left(-\lambda \left(\frac{t_2^\rho - s^\rho}{\rho} \right)^\alpha \right) \right] \left(\frac{\tau^\rho - s^\rho}{\rho} \right)^{\beta-1} s^{\rho-1} ds \right| \\ & \leq \int_0^{t_1} \left(\frac{\tau^\rho - s^\rho}{\rho} \right)^{\beta-1} s^{\rho-1} ds \cdot O((t_2^\rho - t_1^\rho)^\alpha) \\ & \leq \left[\left(\frac{\tau^\rho}{\rho} \right)^\beta - \left(\frac{\tau^\rho - t_1^\rho}{\rho} \right)^\beta \right] \cdot O((t_2^\rho - t_1^\rho)^\alpha). \end{aligned}$$

■

Lemma 6 *We have the following properties*

$$|K_1(t_2, \tau) - K_1(t_1, \tau)| = \tau^{\rho-1} \cdot O((t_2^\rho - t_1^\rho)^\alpha), \text{ for } 0 < \tau < t_1 < t_2 \leq 1, \tag{20}$$

$$|K_2(t_2, \tau) - K_2(t_1, \tau)| = \tau^{\rho-1} \left(\frac{\tau^\rho - t_2^\rho}{\rho}\right)^{\beta-1} \cdot O((t_2^\rho - t_1^\rho)^\alpha), \tag{21}$$

for $0 < t_1 < t_2 < \tau \leq 1$, and

$$|K_1(t_2, \tau) - K_2(t_1, \tau)| = \tau^{\rho-1} \left[\left(\frac{\tau^\rho}{\rho}\right)^\beta - \left(\frac{\tau^\rho - t_1^\rho}{\rho}\right)^\beta \right] \cdot O((t_2^\rho - t_1^\rho)^\alpha), \tag{22}$$

for $0 < t_1 < \tau < t_2 \leq 1$.

Proof. For $0 < \tau < t_1 < t_2 \leq 1$, by (17), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & |K_1(t_2, \tau) - K_1(t_1, \tau)| \\ & \leq \frac{\tau^{\rho-1}}{\Gamma(\beta)} \left| \int_0^\tau \left[\left(\frac{t_1^\rho - s^\rho}{\rho}\right)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha} \left(-\lambda \left(\frac{t_1^\rho - s^\rho}{\rho}\right)^\alpha\right) - \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. \left(\frac{t_2^\rho - s^\rho}{\rho}\right)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha} \left(-\lambda \left(\frac{t_2^\rho - s^\rho}{\rho}\right)^\alpha\right) \right] \left(\frac{\tau^\rho - s^\rho}{\rho}\right)^{\beta-1} s^{\rho-1} ds \right| \\ & \leq \tau^{\rho-1} \cdot O((t_2^\rho - t_1^\rho)^\alpha). \end{aligned}$$

For $0 < t_1 < t_2 < \tau \leq 1$, by (18) and Lemma 2, we find

$$\begin{aligned} & |K_2(t_2, \tau) - K_2(t_1, \tau)| \\ & = \left| \frac{\tau^{\rho-1}}{\Gamma(\beta)} \left[\int_0^{t_2} \left(\frac{t_2^\rho - s^\rho}{\rho}\right)^{\alpha-1} \left(\frac{\tau^\rho - s^\rho}{\rho}\right)^{\beta-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha} \left(-\lambda \left(\frac{t_2^\rho - s^\rho}{\rho}\right)^\alpha\right) s^{\rho-1} ds \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. - \int_0^{t_1} \left(\frac{t_1^\rho - s^\rho}{\rho}\right)^{\alpha-1} \left(\frac{\tau^\rho - s^\rho}{\rho}\right)^{\beta-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha} \left(-\lambda \left(\frac{t_1^\rho - s^\rho}{\rho}\right)^\alpha\right) s^{\rho-1} ds \right] \right| \\ & \leq \frac{\tau^{\rho-1}}{\Gamma(\beta)} \left| \int_0^{t_1} \left(\frac{t_2^\rho - s^\rho}{\rho}\right)^{\alpha-1} \left(\frac{\tau^\rho - s^\rho}{\rho}\right)^{\beta-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha} \left(-\lambda \left(\frac{t_2^\rho - s^\rho}{\rho}\right)^\alpha\right) s^{\rho-1} ds \right| \\ & \quad + \frac{\tau^{\rho-1}}{\Gamma(\beta)} \left| \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \left(\frac{t_2^\rho - s^\rho}{\rho}\right)^{\alpha-1} \left(\frac{\tau^\rho - s^\rho}{\rho}\right)^{\beta-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha} \left(-\lambda \left(\frac{t_2^\rho - s^\rho}{\rho}\right)^\alpha\right) s^{\rho-1} ds \right| \\ & \quad - \frac{\tau^{\rho-1}}{\Gamma(\beta)} \left| \int_0^{t_1} \left(\frac{t_1^\rho - s^\rho}{\rho}\right)^{\alpha-1} \left(\frac{\tau^\rho - s^\rho}{\rho}\right)^{\beta-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha} \left(-\lambda \left(\frac{t_1^\rho - s^\rho}{\rho}\right)^\alpha\right) s^{\rho-1} ds \right| \\ & \leq \frac{\tau^{\rho-1}}{\Gamma(\beta)} \left[\left| \int_0^{t_1} \left(\left(\frac{t_1^\rho - s^\rho}{\rho}\right)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha} \left(-\lambda \left(\frac{t_1^\rho - s^\rho}{\rho}\right)^\alpha\right) - \left(\frac{t_2^\rho - s^\rho}{\rho}\right)^{\alpha-1} \right. \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. \times E_{\alpha,\alpha} \left(-\lambda \left(\frac{t_2^\rho - s^\rho}{\rho}\right)^\alpha\right) \right) \left(\frac{\tau^\rho - s^\rho}{\rho}\right)^{\beta-1} s^{\rho-1} ds \right| \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \frac{\tau^{\rho-1}}{\Gamma(\beta)} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \left(\frac{t_2^\rho - s^\rho}{\rho}\right)^{\alpha-1} \left(\frac{\tau^\rho - s^\rho}{\rho}\right)^{\beta-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha} \left(-\lambda \left(\frac{t_2^\rho - s^\rho}{\rho}\right)^\alpha\right) s^{\rho-1} ds \right. \\ & \quad \left. \leq \frac{\tau^{\rho-1}}{\Gamma(\beta)} \left[\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \left| \int_0^{t_1} \left(\left(\frac{t_1^\rho - s^\rho}{\rho}\right)^{\alpha-1} - \left(\frac{t_2^\rho - s^\rho}{\rho}\right)^{\alpha-1} \right) \left(\frac{\tau^\rho - s^\rho}{\rho}\right)^{\beta-1} s^{\rho-1} ds \right| \right] \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \frac{\tau^{\rho-1}}{\Gamma(\beta)\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \left(\frac{t_2^\rho - s^\rho}{\rho}\right)^{\alpha-1} \left(\frac{\tau^\rho - s^\rho}{\rho}\right)^{\beta-1} s^{\rho-1} ds \\
 \leq & \frac{\tau^{\rho-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)} \left(\frac{\tau^\rho - t_2^\rho}{\rho}\right)^{\beta-1} \cdot O((t_2^\rho - t_1^\rho)^\alpha) \\
 & + \frac{\tau^{\rho-1} \left(\frac{\tau^\rho - t_2^\rho}{\rho}\right)^{\beta-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \left(\frac{t_2^\rho - s^\rho}{\rho}\right)^{\alpha-1} s^{\rho-1} ds \\
 \leq & \tau^{\rho-1} \left(\frac{\tau^\rho - t_2^\rho}{\rho}\right)^{\beta-1} \cdot O((t_2^\rho - t_1^\rho)^\alpha).
 \end{aligned}$$

In the same way, for $0 < t_1 < \tau < t_2 \leq 1$, by (19) and Lemma 2, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & |K_1(t_2, \tau) - K_2(t_1, \tau)| \\
 = & \left| \frac{\tau^{\rho-1}}{\Gamma(\beta)} \left[\int_0^\tau \left(\frac{t_2^\rho - s^\rho}{\rho}\right)^{\alpha-1} \left(\frac{\tau^\rho - s^\rho}{\rho}\right)^{\beta-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha} \left(-\lambda \left(\frac{t_2^\rho - s^\rho}{\rho}\right)^\alpha\right) s^{\rho-1} ds \right. \right. \\
 & \left. \left. - \int_0^{t_1} \left(\frac{t_1^\rho - s^\rho}{\rho}\right)^{\alpha-1} \left(\frac{\tau^\rho - s^\rho}{\rho}\right)^{\beta-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha} \left(-\lambda \left(\frac{t_1^\rho - s^\rho}{\rho}\right)^\alpha\right) s^{\rho-1} ds \right] \right| \\
 \leq & \frac{\tau^{\rho-1}}{\Gamma(\beta)} \left| \left[\int_0^{t_1} \left(\frac{t_2^\rho - s^\rho}{\rho}\right)^{\alpha-1} \left(\frac{\tau^\rho - s^\rho}{\rho}\right)^{\beta-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha} \left(-\lambda \left(\frac{t_2^\rho - s^\rho}{\rho}\right)^\alpha\right) s^{\rho-1} ds \right. \right. \\
 & \left. \left. - \int_0^{t_1} \left(\frac{t_1^\rho - s^\rho}{\rho}\right)^{\alpha-1} \left(\frac{\tau^\rho - s^\rho}{\rho}\right)^{\beta-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha} \left(-\lambda \left(\frac{t_1^\rho - s^\rho}{\rho}\right)^\alpha\right) s^{\rho-1} ds \right] \right| \\
 & + \frac{\tau^{\rho-1}}{\Gamma(\beta)} \int_{t_1}^\tau \left(\frac{t_2^\rho - s^\rho}{\rho}\right)^{\alpha-1} \left(\frac{\tau^\rho - s^\rho}{\rho}\right)^{\beta-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha} \left(-\lambda \left(\frac{t_2^\rho - s^\rho}{\rho}\right)^\alpha\right) s^{\rho-1} ds \\
 \leq & \frac{\tau^{\rho-1}}{\Gamma(\beta)} \left[\left| \int_0^{t_1} \left[\left(\frac{t_2^\rho - s^\rho}{\rho}\right)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha} \left(-\lambda \left(\frac{t_2^\rho - s^\rho}{\rho}\right)^\alpha\right) - \left(\frac{t_1^\rho - s^\rho}{\rho}\right)^{\alpha-1} \right. \right. \right. \\
 & \left. \left. \times E_{\alpha,\alpha} \left(-\lambda \left(\frac{t_1^\rho - s^\rho}{\rho}\right)^\alpha\right) \right] \left(\frac{\tau^\rho - s^\rho}{\rho}\right)^{\beta-1} s^{\rho-1} ds \right| \right] \\
 & + \frac{\tau^{\rho-1}}{\Gamma(\beta)\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{t_1}^\tau \left(\frac{t_2^\rho - s^\rho}{\rho}\right)^{\alpha-1} \left(\frac{\tau^\rho - s^\rho}{\rho}\right)^{\beta-1} s^{\rho-1} ds \\
 \leq & \frac{\tau^{\rho-1}}{\Gamma(\beta)} \int_0^{t_1} \left(\frac{\tau^\rho - s^\rho}{\rho}\right)^{\beta-1} s^{\rho-1} ds \cdot O((t_2^\rho - t_1^\rho)^\alpha) \\
 \leq & \tau^{\rho-1} \left[\left(\frac{\tau^\rho}{\rho}\right)^\beta - \left(\frac{\tau^\rho - t_1^\rho}{\rho}\right)^\beta \right] \cdot O((t_2^\rho - t_1^\rho)^\alpha).
 \end{aligned}$$

■

4 Existence and Stability Results

Now, to prove our results, we give the following conditions

(H₁) $f : [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function.

(H₂) There exists a constant $L > 0$ such that

$$|f(t, u) - f(t, v)| \leq L|u - v|, \forall u, v \in \mathbb{R}, t \in [0, 1].$$

(H₃) There exists a constant $M > 0$ with $|f(t, u)| \leq M$ for each $t \in [0, 1]$.

In light of Lemma 3, we define the operator $F : C([0, 1], \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow C([0, 1], \mathbb{R})$ as follows

$$Fu(t) = u_0 \left(\frac{t^\rho}{\rho}\right)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha} \left(-\lambda \left(\frac{t^\rho}{\rho}\right)^\alpha\right) + u_1 \left(\frac{t^\rho}{\rho}\right)^\alpha E_{\alpha,\alpha+1} \left(-\lambda \left(\frac{t^\rho}{\rho}\right)^\alpha\right) + \int_0^1 K(t, \tau) f(\tau, u(\tau)) d\tau, \tag{23}$$

where $K(t, \tau)$ is defined by (11).

Lemma 7 F is a completely continuous operator

Proof. Firstly, according to (H₁), we note that the operator F is well defined. Next, choosing

$$\eta \geq \frac{|u_0|}{\rho^{\alpha-1}\Gamma(\alpha)} + \frac{|u_1|}{\rho^\alpha\Gamma(\alpha+1)} + M\Lambda_0.$$

We define

$$\Omega_\eta = \{u \in C([0, 1], \mathbb{R}) : \|u\|_\infty \leq \eta, \eta > 0\}. \tag{24}$$

Clearly, Ω_η is a nonempty, bounded, closed and convex subset of $C([0, 1], \mathbb{R})$. We show that $F(\Omega_\eta)$ is uniformly bounded. Let $u \in \Omega_\eta$, in fact for any $t \in [0, 1]$, by condition (H₃), from Lemma 2(i) and equation (16), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |Fu(t)| &\leq \left| u_0 \left(\frac{t^\rho}{\rho}\right)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha} \left(-\lambda \left(\frac{t^\rho}{\rho}\right)^\alpha\right) \right| \\ &\quad + \left| u_1 \left(\frac{t^\rho}{\rho}\right)^\alpha E_{\alpha,\alpha+1} \left(-\lambda \left(\frac{t^\rho}{\rho}\right)^\alpha\right) \right| \\ &\quad + \int_0^1 K(t, \tau) |f(\tau, u(\tau))| d\tau \\ &\leq \frac{|u_0| t^{\rho(\alpha-1)}}{\rho^{\alpha-1}\Gamma(\alpha)} + \frac{|u_1| t^{\rho\alpha}}{\rho^\alpha\Gamma(\alpha+1)} + M \int_0^1 K(t, \tau) d\tau \\ &\leq \frac{|u_0| t^{\rho(\alpha-1)}}{\rho^{\alpha-1}\Gamma(\alpha)} + \frac{|u_1| t^{\rho\alpha}}{\rho^\alpha\Gamma(\alpha+1)} + \frac{M(\rho^\alpha + \rho^\beta)}{\rho^{\alpha+\beta}\Gamma(\alpha+1)\Gamma(\beta+1)} \\ &\leq \frac{|u_0|}{\rho^{\alpha-1}\Gamma(\alpha)} + \frac{|u_1|}{\rho^\alpha\Gamma(\alpha+1)} + M\Lambda_0 \\ &\leq \eta. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$\|Fu\|_\infty \leq \frac{|u_0|}{\rho^{\alpha-1}\Gamma(\alpha)} + \frac{|u_1|}{\rho^\alpha\Gamma(\alpha+1)} + M\Lambda_0 < \infty, \text{ for all } u \in \Omega_\eta$$

and hence $F(\Omega_\eta)$ is uniformly bounded.

Now, we show that F is equicontinuous. Let $t_1, t_2 \in [0, 1]$, with $t_1 < t_2$, $\forall u \in \Omega_\eta$, by the Mean Value Theorem, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &|Fu(t_2) - Fu(t_1)| \\ &\leq \left| u_0 \left[\left(\frac{t_2^\rho}{\rho}\right)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha} \left(-\lambda \left(\frac{t_2^\rho}{\rho}\right)^\alpha\right) - \left(\frac{t_1^\rho}{\rho}\right)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha} \left(-\lambda \left(\frac{t_1^\rho}{\rho}\right)^\alpha\right) \right] \right| \\ &\quad + \left| u_1 \left[\left(\frac{t_2^\rho}{\rho}\right)^\alpha E_{\alpha,\alpha+1} \left(-\lambda \left(\frac{t_2^\rho}{\rho}\right)^\alpha\right) - \left(\frac{t_1^\rho}{\rho}\right)^\alpha E_{\alpha,\alpha+1} \left(-\lambda \left(\frac{t_1^\rho}{\rho}\right)^\alpha\right) \right] \right| \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \int_0^1 |K(t_2, \tau) - K(t_1, \tau)| |f(\tau, u(\tau))| d\tau \\
\leq & |u_0| O((t_2^\rho - t_1^\rho)^\alpha) + |u_1| O((t_2^\rho - t_1^\rho)^\alpha) \\
& + M \int_0^1 |K(t_2, \tau) - K(t_1, \tau)| d\tau \\
\leq & O((t_2^\rho - t_1^\rho)^\alpha) + M \int_0^1 |K(t_2, \tau) - K(t_1, \tau)| d\tau.
\end{aligned}$$

It remains to show that the right-hand side of the above inequality tends to zero as $t_2 \rightarrow t_1$. In what follows, we divide the proof into three cases

Case 1: For $\tau < t_1 < t_2$, by (20), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|Fu(t_2) - Fu(t_1)| & \leq O((t_2^\rho - t_1^\rho)^\alpha) + M \int_0^1 |k_1(t_2, \tau) - k_1(t_1, \tau)| d\tau \\
& \leq O((t_2^\rho - t_1^\rho)^\alpha) + M \int_0^1 O((t_2^\rho - t_1^\rho)^\alpha) \tau^{\rho-1} d\tau \\
& = O((t_2^\rho - t_1^\rho)^\alpha).
\end{aligned}$$

Case 2: For $t_1 < t_2 < \tau$, by (21), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
|Fu(t_2) - Fu(t_1)| & \leq O((t_2^\rho - t_1^\rho)^\alpha) + M \int_0^1 |k_2(t_2, \tau) - k_2(t_1, \tau)| d\tau \\
& \leq O((t_2^\rho - t_1^\rho)^\alpha) + M \int_0^1 \tau^{\rho-1} \left(\frac{\tau^\rho - t_2^\rho}{\rho} \right)^{\beta-1} \cdot O((t_2^\rho - t_1^\rho)^\alpha) d\tau \\
& = O((t_2^\rho - t_1^\rho)^\alpha).
\end{aligned}$$

Case 3: In the same way, for $t_1 < \tau < t_2$, by (22), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
|Fu(t_2) - Fu(t_1)| & \leq O((t_2^\rho - t_1^\rho)^\alpha) + M \int_0^1 |k_1(t_2, \tau) - k_2(t_1, \tau)| d\tau \\
& \leq O((t_2^\rho - t_1^\rho)^\alpha) + \frac{M}{\Gamma(\beta+1)} \int_0^1 \tau^{\rho-1} \left[\left(\frac{\tau^\rho}{\rho} \right)^\beta - \left(\frac{\tau^\rho - t_1^\rho}{\rho} \right)^\beta \right] \cdot O((t_2^\rho - t_1^\rho)^\alpha) d\tau \\
& = O((t_2^\rho - t_1^\rho)^\alpha).
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently, by this three cases, we have $|Fu(t_2) - Fu(t_1)| \rightarrow 0$ as $t_2 \rightarrow t_1$. Finally, by the Ascoli-Arzelà Theorem 3, we have $F : C([0, 1], \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow C([0, 1], \mathbb{R})$ is completely continuous. ■

Next, we study the existence and uniqueness of solution for the PVP (1)–(3).

4.1 Existence of At Least One Solution via Schauder's Fixed Point Theorem

Now, we demonstrate the first existence result, by using the fixed point theorem of Schauder

Theorem 7 Assume that (H_1) and (H_3) are satisfied. Then the problem (1)–(3) has at least one solution on $[0, 1]$.

Proof. Let the operator F defined in (23), then we shall show that F satisfies the assumptions of Schauder's Fixed Point Theorem. It means, we will prove that the operator F is continuous and completely continuous.

Let's first show that F is continuous. Let $\{u_n\}$ be a sequence such that $u_n \rightarrow u$ in $C([0, 1], \mathbb{R})$, for each $t \in [0, 1]$, by (16), we get

$$\begin{aligned} |Fu_n(t) - Fu(t)| &= \left| \int_0^1 K(t, \tau) f(\tau, u_n(\tau)) d\tau - \int_0^1 K(t, \tau) f(\tau, u(\tau)) d\tau \right| \\ &\leq \int_0^1 K(t, \tau) |f(\tau, u_n(\tau)) - f(\tau, u(\tau))| d\tau \\ &\leq \int_0^1 K(t, \tau) \sup |f(\tau, u_n(\tau)) - f(\tau, u(\tau))| d\tau \\ &\leq \|f(\cdot, u_n) - f(\cdot, u)\|_\infty \int_0^1 K(t, \tau) d\tau \\ &\leq \frac{(\rho^\alpha + \rho^\beta) \|f(\cdot, u_n) - f(\cdot, u)\|_\infty}{\rho^{\alpha+\beta} \Gamma(\alpha + 1) \Gamma(\beta + 1)} \\ &\leq \Lambda_0 \|f(\cdot, u_n) - f(\cdot, u)\|_\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Then $\|Fu_n - Fu\|_\infty \leq \Lambda_0 \|f(\cdot, u_n) - f(\cdot, u)\|_\infty$. Since f is continuous, we see that $\|f(\cdot, u_n) - f(\cdot, u)\|_\infty \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Consequently, F is continuous. From Lemma 7, we know that F is a completely continuous operator. As a consequence of Schauder's Fixed Point Theorem 5, we deduce that F has a fixed point which is a solution of the problem (1)–(3) on $[0, 1]$. ■

Next, we demonstrate the second existence result, by using the fixed point theorem of Schaefer.

4.2 Existence of At Least One Solution via Schaefer's Fixed Point Theorem

Theorem 8 Assume that (H_1) and (H_3) hold. Then the problem (1)–(3) has at least one solution.

Proof. Consider F as in (23). Clearly, F is a continuous and completely continuous operator.

Now, it remains to show that the set

$$\mathcal{E} = \{u \in C([0, 1], \mathbb{R}) : u = \lambda u, \lambda \in (0, 1)\}$$

is bounded. Let $u \in \mathcal{E}$. Then, $u = \lambda Fu$ for some $\lambda \in (0, 1)$. For each $t \in [0, 1]$, by (H_3) , Lemma 2 and equation (16), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |u(t)| &= \lambda \left| u_0 \left(\frac{t^\rho}{\rho}\right)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha, \alpha} \left(-\lambda \left(\frac{t^\rho}{\rho}\right)^\alpha\right) + u_1 \left(\frac{t^\rho}{\rho}\right)^\alpha E_{\alpha, \alpha+1} \left(-\lambda \left(\frac{t^\rho}{\rho}\right)^\alpha\right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_0^1 K(t, \tau) f(\tau, u(\tau)) d\tau \right| \\ &\leq \left| u_0 \left(\frac{t^\rho}{\rho}\right)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha, \alpha} \left(-\lambda \left(\frac{t^\rho}{\rho}\right)^\alpha\right) \right| + \left| u_1 \left(\frac{t^\rho}{\rho}\right)^\alpha E_{\alpha, \alpha+1} \left(-\lambda \left(\frac{t^\rho}{\rho}\right)^\alpha\right) \right| \\ &\quad + \int_0^1 K(t, \tau) |f(\tau, u(\tau))| d\tau \\ &\leq \frac{|u_0|}{\rho^{\alpha-1} \Gamma(\alpha)} + \frac{|u_1|}{\rho^\alpha \Gamma(\alpha + 1)} + M \Lambda_0 < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that the set \mathcal{E} is bounded. Hence the fixed point theorem of Schaefer guarantees that F has a fixed point, which is a solution of (1)–(3). ■

Our third existence result for (1)–(3) is based on the non-linear alternative of Leray-Schauder type.

4.3 Existence of At Least One Solution via the Non-Linear Alternative of Leray-Schauder Type

Theorem 9 Assume that hypotheses (H_1) and (H_3) hold, and that there exists $\theta > 0$, such that

$$\frac{1}{\theta} \left(\frac{|u_0|}{\rho^{\alpha-1}\Gamma(\alpha)} + \frac{|u_1|}{\rho^\alpha\Gamma(\alpha+1)} + M\Lambda_0 \right) < 1. \tag{25}$$

Then the problem (1)–(3) has at least one solution on $[0, 1]$.

Proof. Consider the operator F defined in (23), then we shall show that all assumption of Leray-Schauder Fixed Point Theorem 6 are satisfied by the operator F . The proof will be given in several claims.

Claim 1: Clearly F is continuous.

Claim 2: F maps bounded sets into bounded sets in $C([0, 1], \mathbb{R})$.

Actually, it is enough to show that for any $\theta > 0$, there exists $l > 0$ such that for each $u \in D_\theta = \{u \in C([0, 1], \mathbb{R}) : \|u\|_\infty \leq \theta\}$, we have $\|Fu\|_\infty \leq l$.

Let $u \in D_\theta$, for each $t \in [0, 1]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |Fu(t)| &\leq \left| u_0 \left(\frac{t^\rho}{\rho}\right)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha} \left(-\lambda \left(\frac{t^\rho}{\rho}\right)^\alpha\right) \right| \\ &\quad + \left| u_1 \left(\frac{t^\rho}{\rho}\right)^\alpha E_{\alpha,\alpha+1} \left(-\lambda \left(\frac{t^\rho}{\rho}\right)^\alpha\right) \right| \\ &\quad + \int_0^1 K(t,\tau) |f(\tau, u(\tau))| d\tau \\ &\leq \frac{|u_0| t^{\rho(\alpha-1)}}{\rho^{\alpha-1}\Gamma(\alpha)} + \frac{|u_1| t^{\rho\alpha}}{\rho^\alpha\Gamma(\alpha+1)} + \frac{M(\rho^\alpha + \rho^\beta)}{\rho^{\alpha+\beta}\Gamma(\alpha+1)\Gamma(\beta+1)} \\ &\leq \frac{|u_0|}{\rho^{\alpha-1}\Gamma(\alpha)} + \frac{|u_1|}{\rho^\alpha\Gamma(\alpha+1)} + M\Lambda_0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\|Fu\|_\infty \leq \frac{|u_0|}{\rho^{\alpha-1}\Gamma(\alpha)} + \frac{|u_1|}{\rho^\alpha\Gamma(\alpha+1)} + M\Lambda_0 := l < \infty. \tag{26}$$

Claim 3: It is Clear that F maps bounded sets into equicontinuous sets of $C([0, 1], \mathbb{R})$. From Claim 1–Claim 3, we conclude that $F : C([0, 1], \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow C([0, 1], \mathbb{R})$ is continuous and completely continuous.

Claim 4: A priori bounds.

Let $u \in \partial D_\theta$, such that $u = \mu Fu$, for some $0 < \mu < 1$. From (26), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_\infty &= \mu \|Fu\|_\infty \leq \|Fu\|_\infty, \\ &\leq \frac{|u_0|}{\rho^{\alpha-1}\Gamma(\alpha)} + \frac{|u_1|}{\rho^\alpha\Gamma(\alpha+1)} + M\Lambda_0, \end{aligned}$$

and thus

$$\theta \leq \frac{|u_0|}{\rho^{\alpha-1}\Gamma(\alpha)} + \frac{|u_1|}{\rho^\alpha\Gamma(\alpha+1)} + M\Lambda_0,$$

hence,

$$\frac{1}{\theta} \left[\frac{|u_0|}{\rho^{\alpha-1}\Gamma(\alpha)} + \frac{|u_1|}{\rho^\alpha\Gamma(\alpha+1)} + M\Lambda_0 \right] \geq 1,$$

which contradicts (25). Consequently, by the nonlinear alternative of Leray-Schauder Fixed Point Theorem 6, the problem (1)–(3) has at least one solution on $[0, 1]$. ■

Finally, we will prove the existence and uniqueness result of the solution for the problem (1)–(3), which is based on Banach’s Fixed Point Theorem.

4.4 Uniqueness of Solution

In this subsection, we show the last existence result which is based on the Banach’s contraction principle.

Theorem 10 *Assume that (H_1) – (H_2) hold for $\alpha, \beta \in (0, 1)$, $\rho, \lambda > 0$. Then the problem (1)–(3) has a unique solution on $[0, 1]$, provided that*

$$0 < L\Lambda_0 < 1. \tag{27}$$

Proof. Consider the operator $F : C([0, 1], \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow C([0, 1], \mathbb{R})$ given by (23), we shall show that F is a contraction mapping. Let $u, v \in C([0, 1], \mathbb{R})$, for any $t \in [0, 1]$, according to (H_2) and by equation (16), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |Fu(t) - Fv(t)| &= \left| \int_0^1 K(t, \tau) f(\tau, u(\tau))d\tau - \int_0^1 K(t, \tau) f(\tau, v(\tau))d\tau \right| \\ &\leq \int_0^1 K(t, \tau) |f(\tau, u(\tau)) - f(\tau, v(\tau))| d\tau \\ &\leq L \int_0^1 K(t, \tau) |u(\tau) - v(\tau)| d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\|Fu - Fv\|_\infty \leq L\Lambda_0 \|u - v\|_\infty.$$

By the condition (27), F is a contraction mapping, using the principle of Banach Fixed Point Theorem 4, we deduce that there exists a unique solution of the problem (1)–(3) on $[0, 1]$. ■

5 Ulam-Hyers Stability

In this section, we discuss the Ulam-Hyers stability of problem (1)–(3).

Let $\tilde{\varepsilon} > 0$ and $f : [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function.

For the mixed fractional BVP (1)–(3), we emphasize on the following inequality

$$\left| {}^{CK}D_{1^-}^{\beta, \rho} ({}^K D_{0^+}^{\alpha, \rho} + \lambda) w(t) - f(t, w(t)) \right| \leq \tilde{\varepsilon}, \quad t \in [0, 1]. \tag{28}$$

In a similar way as in [17, 18, 19], we introduce the following definition and remark.

Definition 7 *The mixed fractional boundary value problem (1)–(3) is Ulam-Hyers stable if there exists a constant $\delta_0 > 0$ such that for each $\tilde{\varepsilon} > 0$ and for each solution $w \in C([0, 1], \mathbb{R})$ of inequality (28) there exists a solution $u \in C([0, 1], \mathbb{R})$ of (1)–(3) with*

$$|w(t) - u(t)| \leq \delta_0 \tilde{\varepsilon}, \quad t \in [0, 1].$$

Remark 1 *A function $w \in C([0, 1], \mathbb{R})$ is a solution of inequality (28) if and only if there exists a function $\varphi \in C([0, 1], \mathbb{R})$ such that*

- (i) $|\varphi(t)| \leq \tilde{\varepsilon}, \quad t \in [0, 1]$,
- (ii) ${}^{CK}D_{1^-}^{\beta, \rho} ({}^K D_{0^+}^{\alpha, \rho} + \lambda) w(t) = f(t, w(t)) + \varphi(t), \quad t \in [0, 1]$.

Theorem 11 *Suppose that (H_1) and (H_2) hold, then the mixed fractional BVP (1)–(3) is Ulam-Hyers stable if $L\Lambda_0 < 1$.*

Proof. Let $0 < \alpha, \beta < 1$ and let $w \in C([0, 1], \mathbb{R})$ be a solution of inequality (28) with $({}^K I_{0^+}^{1-\alpha, \rho} w)(0) = u_0$, $({}^K D_{0^+}^{\alpha, \rho} + \lambda) w(1) = u_1$. Then by Remark 1, we have

$${}^{CK} D_{1^-}^{\beta, \rho} ({}^K D_{0^+}^{\alpha, \rho} + \lambda) w(t) = f(t, w(t)) + \varphi(t), \quad t \in [0, 1].$$

By adopting the same arguments as in the proof of Lemma 3, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} w(t) &= u_0 \left(\frac{t^\rho}{\rho}\right)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha, \alpha} \left(-\lambda \left(\frac{t^\rho}{\rho}\right)^\alpha\right) + u_1 \left(\frac{t^\rho}{\rho}\right)^\alpha E_{\alpha, \alpha+1} \left(-\lambda \left(\frac{t^\rho}{\rho}\right)^\alpha\right) \\ &\quad + \int_0^1 K(t, \tau) f(\tau, w(\tau)) d\tau + \int_0^1 K(t, \tau) \varphi(\tau) d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

From this equation and by (16), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| w(t) - u_0 \left(\frac{t^\rho}{\rho}\right)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha, \alpha} \left(-\lambda \left(\frac{t^\rho}{\rho}\right)^\alpha\right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - u_1 \left(\frac{t^\rho}{\rho}\right)^\alpha E_{\alpha, \alpha+1} \left(-\lambda \left(\frac{t^\rho}{\rho}\right)^\alpha\right) - \int_0^1 K(t, \tau) f(\tau, w(\tau)) d\tau \right| \\ &= \left| \int_0^1 K(t, \tau) \varphi(\tau) d\tau \right| \\ &\leq \int_0^1 K(t, \tau) |\varphi(\tau)| d\tau \\ &\leq \Lambda_0 \tilde{\varepsilon}. \end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

Now, let $u \in C([0, 1], \mathbb{R})$ be a unique solution of (1)–(3). Then for each $t \in [0, 1]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |w(t) - u(t)| &= \left| w(t) - u_0 \left(\frac{t^\rho}{\rho}\right)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha, \alpha} \left(-\lambda \left(\frac{t^\rho}{\rho}\right)^\alpha\right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - u_1 \left(\frac{t^\rho}{\rho}\right)^\alpha E_{\alpha, \alpha+1} \left(-\lambda \left(\frac{t^\rho}{\rho}\right)^\alpha\right) - \int_0^1 K(t, \tau) f(\tau, u(\tau)) d\tau \right| \\ &\leq \left| w(t) - u_0 \left(\frac{t^\rho}{\rho}\right)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha, \alpha} \left(-\lambda \left(\frac{t^\rho}{\rho}\right)^\alpha\right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - u_1 \left(\frac{t^\rho}{\rho}\right)^\alpha E_{\alpha, \alpha+1} \left(-\lambda \left(\frac{t^\rho}{\rho}\right)^\alpha\right) - \int_0^1 K(t, \tau) f(\tau, w(\tau)) d\tau \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_0^1 K(t, \tau) f(\tau, w(\tau)) d\tau - \int_0^1 K(t, \tau) f(\tau, u(\tau)) d\tau \right| \\ &\leq \left| w(t) - u_0 \left(\frac{t^\rho}{\rho}\right)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha, \alpha} \left(-\lambda \left(\frac{t^\rho}{\rho}\right)^\alpha\right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - u_1 \left(\frac{t^\rho}{\rho}\right)^\alpha E_{\alpha, \alpha+1} \left(-\lambda \left(\frac{t^\rho}{\rho}\right)^\alpha\right) - \int_0^1 K(t, \tau) f(\tau, w(\tau)) d\tau \right| \\ &\quad + \int_0^1 K(t, \tau) |f(\tau, w(\tau)) - f(\tau, u(\tau))| d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

From (H_2) , (29) and (16), we obtain

$$\|w - u\| \leq \Lambda_0 \tilde{\varepsilon} + L\Lambda_0 \|w - u\|,$$

which implies

$$\|w - u\| \leq \delta_0 \tilde{\varepsilon},$$

where $\delta_0 = \frac{\Lambda_0}{1-L\Lambda_0} > 0$. Then the mixed fractional boundary value problem (1)–(3) is Ulam-Hyers stable. ■

6 Examples

In this section, we present two examples to explain the applicability of our main result.

Example 1 Consider the following boundary value problem with two different fractional derivatives

$$\begin{cases} {}^C K D_{1-}^{\frac{1}{5},1} \left({}^K D_{0+}^{\frac{1}{3},1} + \frac{5}{4} \right) u(t) = \frac{\cos(t)}{1+u^2}, & t \in J = [0, 1], \\ \left({}^K I_{0+}^{\frac{2}{3},1} u \right) (0) = \frac{1}{2}, \quad \left({}^K D_{0+}^{\frac{1}{3},1} + \frac{5}{4} \right) u(1) = 1. \end{cases} \quad (30)$$

Here, $f(t, u(t)) = \frac{\cos(t)}{1+u^2}$, $\alpha = \frac{1}{3}$, $\beta = \frac{1}{5}$, $\alpha + \beta = \frac{8}{15} < 1$, $\lambda = \frac{5}{4}$, $\rho = 1$, $u_0 = \frac{1}{2}$ and $u_1 = 1$. The function f is continuous for any $t \in [0, 1]$ and we have $|f(t, u)| \leq M = 1, \forall (t, u) \in [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}$. Hence the condition (H_3) holds. It follows from Theorem 7 and Theorem 8, that the problem (30) has at least one solution.

Example 2 Consider the following mixed fractional boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} {}^C K D_{1-}^{\frac{1}{4},1} \left({}^K D_{0+}^{\frac{1}{2},1} + 2 \right) u(t) = t + \frac{u(t)}{5e^t(1+u(t))}, & t \in J = [0, 1], \\ \left({}^K I_{0+}^{\frac{1}{2},1} u \right) (0) = u_0, \quad \left({}^K D_{0+}^{\frac{1}{2},1} + 2 \right) u(1) = u_1. \end{cases} \quad (31)$$

Here, $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$, $\beta = \frac{1}{4}$, $\alpha + \beta = \frac{6}{8} < 1$, $\lambda = 2$, $\rho = 1$ and $f(t, u(t)) = t + \frac{u(t)}{5e^t(1+u(t))}$. The function f is continuous for any $t \in [0, 1]$, then we have $|f(t, u) - f(t, v)| \leq \frac{1}{5} |u - v|$, $L = \frac{1}{5}$, $\Lambda_0 = \frac{2}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{4}+1)\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}+1)} \approx 2.49$ and $L\Lambda_0 \approx 0.50 < 1$. By Theorem 10, the problem (31) has a unique solution u on $[0, 1]$.

Now, let $w \in C([0, 1], \mathbb{R})$ be a solution of the inequality

$$\left| {}^C K D_{1-}^{\frac{1}{4},1} \left({}^K D_{0+}^{\frac{1}{2},1} + 2 \right) w(t) - \left(t + \frac{w(t)}{5e^t(1+w(t))} \right) \right| \leq \tilde{\varepsilon}, \quad \tilde{\varepsilon} > 0, \quad t \in J = [0, 1].$$

Then, from Theorem 11, the mixed fractional BVP (31) is Ulam-Hyers stable with

$$\delta_0 = \frac{\Lambda_0}{1 - L\Lambda_0} = \frac{2.49}{0.5} = 4.98 > 0.$$

7 Conclusion

In this paper, we have discussed the existence and uniqueness of solution for the nonlinear mixed-type FDEs with boundary conditions by applying some fixed point theorems (Banach’s contraction principle, Schauder’s Fixed Point Theorem, the nonlinear alternative of Leray-Schauder type and Schaefer’s Fixed Point Theorem). We have also studied the Ulam-Hyers stability of our problem. The differential operators we have considered are the Katugampola and Caputo-Katugampola, so the Reimann-Liouville, Hadamard, Caputo and Caputo-Hadamard operators can be considered as special cases from our generalized problem. This study serves as a new way for the researchers to discuss interesting problems in fractional differential and integral calculus.

Acknowledgment. The authors are deeply grateful to the anonymous referees for their kind comments.

References

- [1] S. G. Samko, A. A. Kilbas and O. I. Marichev, Fractional Integral and Derivatives (Theory and Applications). Gordon and breach, Switzerland, 1993.
- [2] I. Podlubny, Fractional Differential Equations. Mathematics in Science and Engineering. Academic Press, San Diego 1999.

- [3] A. A. Kilbas, H. H. Srivastava and J. J. Trujillo, *Theory and Application of Fractional Differential Equations*. North-Holland Mathematics Studies, Elsevier, Amsterdam 2006.
- [4] K. Diethelm, *The Analysis of Fractional Differential Equations*. Springer, Berlin, 2010.
- [5] N. Katugampola, New approach to a generalized fractional integral, *Appl. Math. Comput.*, 218(2011), 860–865.
- [6] N. Katugampola, A new approach to generalized fractional derivatives, *Bull. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 6(2014), 1–15.
- [7] N. Katugampola, *Existence and Uniqueness Results for a Class of Generalized Fractional Differential Equations*, Department of Mathematics, University of Delaware, Newark DE 19716, USA. 2016.
- [8] R. Almeida, A. B. Malinowska and T. Odziejewicz, Fractional differential equations with dependence on the Caputo-Katugampola derivative, *J. Comput. Nonlinear Dynam.*, 11(2016), 11 pages.
- [9] R. Almeida, A Gronwall inequality for a general Caputo fractional operator, *Math. Inequal. Appl.*, 20(2017), 1089–1105.
- [10] Y. Arioua, B. Basti and N. Benhamidouche, Initial value problem for nonlinear implicit fractional differential equations with Katugampola derivative, *Appl. Math. E-Notes*, 19(2019), 397–412.
- [11] Y. Arioua, B. Basti and N. Benhamidouche, Existence and uniqueness of solutions for nonlinear Katugampola fractional differential equations, *J. Math. Appl.*, 42(2019), 35–61.
- [12] B. Basti, Y. Arioua and N. Benhamidouche, Existence results for nonlinear Katugampola fractional differential equations with an integral condition, *Acta Math. Univ. Comenian.*, 89(2020), 243–260.
- [13] S. M. Ulam, *A Collection of Mathematical Problems*, New York, 29, 1960.
- [14] D. H. Hyers, On the stability of the linear functional equation, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*, 27(1941), 222–224.
- [15] D. H. Hyers, G. T. Isac and M. Rassias, *Stability of Functional Equations in Several Variables*, Progress in Nonlinear Differential Equations and their Applications, 34. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 1998.
- [16] M. Houas and M. Bezzoui, Existence and stability results for fractional differential equations with two Caputo fractional derivatives, *Facta Univ. Ser. Math. Inform.*, 34(2019), 341–357.
- [17] W. Wei, X. Li and X. Li, New stability results for fractional integral equation, *Comput. Math. Appl.*, 64(2012), 3468–3476.
- [18] J. Wang and X. Li, Ulam-Hyers stability of fractional Langevin equations, *Appl. Math. Comput.*, 258(2015), 72–83.
- [19] J. R. Wang, L. L. Lv and Y. Zhou, Ulam stability and data dependence for fractional differential equations with caputo derivative, *Electron. J. Qual. Theory Differ. Equ.*, 63(2011), 10 pp.
- [20] H. Wang and F. Li, Nonlinear boundary value problems for mixed-type fractional equations and Ulam-Hyers stability, *Open Math.*, (2020), 916–929.
- [21] A. G. Lakoud, R. Khaldi and A. Kılıçman, Existence of solutions for a mixed fractional boundary value problem, *Adv. Difference Equ.*, 164(2017), 9 pp.
- [22] B. Ahmad, K. Ntouyas and A. Alsaedi, Existence theory for nonlocal boundary value problems involving mixed fractional derivatives, *Nonlinear Anal. Model. Control.*, 24(2019), 937–957.

- [23] G. Chatzarakis, M. Deepa, N. Nagajothi and V. Sadhasivam, Oscillatory properties of a certain class of mixed fractional differential equations, *Appl. Math. Inf. Sci.*, 14(2020), 123–131.
- [24] J. Fahd and A. Thabet, A modified Laplace transform for certain generalized fractional operators, *Results Nonlinear Anal.*, 1(2018), 88–98.
- [25] M. El-Shahed, Positive solutions for boundary value problem of nonlinear fractional differential equation, *Abstr. Appl. Anal.*, (2007), 1–8.
- [26] J. K. Hale and S. Verduyn, *Introduction to Functional Differential Equations*, Applied Mathematical Sciences. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1993.
- [27] K. Deng and H. A. Levine, The role of critical exponents in Blow-up theorems: the sequel, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 243(2000), 85–126.
- [28] A. Granas. and J. Dugundji, *Fixed Point Theory*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 2003.

Annales Universitatis Paedagogicae Cracoviensis Studia Mathematica 22 (2023)

Nora Ouagueni, Yacine Arioua and Nouredine Benhamidouche

Existence results of self-similar solutions of the space-fractional diffusion equation involving the generalized Riesz-Caputo fractional derivative

Abstract. In this paper, we have discussed the problem of existence and uniqueness of solutions under the self-similar form to the space-fractional diffusion equation. The space-fractional derivative which will be used is the generalized Riesz-Caputo fractional derivative. Based on the similarity variable η , we have introduced the equation satisfied by the self-similar solutions for the aforementioned problem. To study the existence and uniqueness of self-similar solutions for this problem, we have applied some known fixed point theorems (i.e. Banach's contraction principle, Schauder's fixed point theorem and the nonlinear alternative of Leray-Schauder type).

1. Introduction

Fractional calculus (FC) is a mathematical analysis subject which deals with different possible approaches of defining fractional order derivatives (FODs) and integrals (FOIs). The theory of classical (integer order) differential equations (IODEs) has been then generalized to the broader theory of fractional order differential equations (FDEs). For more details on the subject, the reader may refer to [7, 26, 31].

To define fractional integrals and derivatives, many approaches have been proposed in the literature, including Riemann-Liouville's (RL), Hadamard's, Caputo's, Riesz's, Erdelyi-Kober's approaches, etc. The development of each one of approaches should go through a series of stages ranging from exponential functions

AMS (2020) Subject Classification: 35R11, 35C06, 58C30.

Keywords and phrases: Space-fractional diffusion equation, fixed point theorems, self-similar solutions, generalized Riesz-Caputo fractional derivative.

ISSN: 2081-545X, e-ISSN: 2300-133X.

to special functions. Later, in [23, 24], a new fractional operator which generalized both the RL and Hadamard operators was introduced by Katugampola. Not long ago, in [3], a Caputo-type modification of this operator was proposed. This later is the Caputo type of generalized fractional derivative (CGFD). It represents a generalization of the Caputo and Caputo-Hadamard FDs. Aleem et al. in [1], presented a generalisation of the Riesz fractional operator, where this operator covers as particular cases the classical Riesz fractional derivative. In the same paper, the authors have also proposed a Caputo-type modification of this operator. This new fractional derivative (FD) was named as the generalized Riesz-Caputo fractional derivative (or the Riesz-Caputo generalized fractional derivative (R-CGFD)). In the same paper, some fundamental results have been introduced and proved.

Different fixed-point theorems have been used by researchers to develop solutions and their existence for non-linear initial value problems (IVPs) and boundary value problems (BVPs) of fractional differential equations (see [4, 5, 34, 6, 8, 9])

Fractional partial DEs or simply FPDEs can be used for the modelling and study of many important phenomena in many different fields of science and engineering, such as diffusion processes, damping law, etc. One can find a variety of applications in [19, 22, 25, 29, 33].

The existence and uniqueness of solutions of non-linear FPDEs have been studied in many papers including [11, 12, 13, 21, 30].

Generally, for PDEs, we can search for special type solutions known as group-invariant solutions. As in [14], by solving a reduced system of equations (which has fewer independent variables compared to the original problem), the group-invariant solutions can be found. These solutions are also known as self-similar or scale-invariant solutions which are used to model many processes in mathematics and engineering's mechanics. The FPDEs which have self-similar solutions can easily be reduced to ordinary differential equations (ODEs). This latter process helps to simplify one's work on FPDEs.

The idea behind solutions' self-similarity along with Lie group analysis have also been applied in FDEs. For example, Luchko and Gorenflo in [27] and Buckwar in [14] have been discussed the application of Lie group analysis for the equation

$$\frac{\partial^\alpha u}{\partial t^\alpha} = d \frac{\partial^\beta u}{\partial x^\beta}, \quad x > 0, t > 0, d > 0, \alpha, \beta \geq 0.$$

They have found a scale-invariant solutions for the fractional ordinary differential equation (FODE) with a new independent variable $\eta = xt^{-\frac{\alpha}{\beta}}$. The left and right sided Erdélyi-Kober derivatives which depend on α, β of this equation and on the parameter γ of its scaled group are considered. They have derived a general solution in terms of the generalized Wright function.

Across the literature, one may easily be aware of the existence of plenty of research works on fractional (space, time and space-time) diffusion equations by using the similarity method. For more details, the reader may check [10, 17, 28].

In this paper, we discuss the existence, uniqueness and main properties of the solution of the following space-fractional diffusion equation, which is

$$\frac{\partial u(x, t)}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial^{\alpha, \rho} u(x, t)}{\partial |x|^\alpha}, \quad (x, t) \in [0, X] \times [t_0, \infty[, \quad 1 < \alpha \leq 2, \quad (1)$$

under the following self-similar form

$$u(x, t) = t^\beta f\left(\frac{x}{t^{\frac{1}{\alpha\rho}}}\right) \quad \text{with } (x, t) \in [0, X] \times [t_0, \infty[, \quad (2)$$

where $u(x, t)$ is a scalar function of space and time variables $(x, t) \in [0, X] \times [t_0, \infty[$ with $X, t_0 > 0$, $\frac{\partial^{\alpha, \rho}}{\partial |x|^\alpha}$ is the R-CGFD of order α with $\rho > 0$ and which is the main motivation of the present research, the "basic profile" f in (2) is not known in advance and is to be identified and $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ is a constant chosen so that the solutions exist.

The rest of this paper is structured as follows. In the next section, we recall preliminaries related to some definitions of fractional integrals and derivatives, theorems and lemmas of FC. The main results are given in section 3. Finally, this paper is ended with a conclusion.

2. Preliminaries and definitions

In this section, we give the necessary definitions, notations, lemmas and theorems from FC theory which will be used through the whole of this work. Let $J = [0, \mu]$ be a finite interval of \mathbb{R} with $\mu > 0$. We denote by $C(J, \mathbb{R})$ the Banach space of all continuous functions $g: [0, \mu] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with the norm

$$\|g\|_\infty = \sup_{\eta \in [0, \mu]} |g(\eta)|.$$

We denote also $C^n(J, \mathbb{R})$ with $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ the set of mappings having n times continuously differentiable on J .

As in [26], for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and $c \in \mathbb{R}$, consider the space $X_c^p[a, b]$ as follows

$$X_c^p[a, b] = \left\{ g: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : \|g\|_{X_c^p} = \left(\int_a^b |s^c g(s)|^p \frac{ds}{s} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty \right\},$$

for $1 \leq p < \infty$, $c \in \mathbb{R}$. For the case $p = \infty$,

$$\|g\|_{X_c^\infty} = \text{ess sup}_{a \leq \eta \leq b} [\eta^c |g(\eta)|], \quad c \in \mathbb{R}.$$

DEFINITION 2.1 (Generalized fractional integrals. (see [2, 23]))

The left-sided and right-sided of the generalized fractional integrals of order $\alpha > 0$ and parameter $\rho > 0$ of an integrable function $g: [0, \mu] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $\mu > 0$ are defined respectively by

$$(I_{0^+}^{\alpha, \rho} g)(\eta) = \frac{\rho^{1-\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^\eta s^{\rho-1} (\eta^\rho - s^\rho)^{\alpha-1} g(s) ds, \quad \eta > 0 \quad (3)$$

and

$$(I_{\mu^-}^{\alpha, \rho} g)(\eta) = \frac{\rho^{1-\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_\eta^\mu s^{\rho-1} (s^\rho - \eta^\rho)^{\alpha-1} g(s) ds, \quad \eta < \mu, \quad (4)$$

where $\Gamma(\cdot)$ is Euler's gamma function defined as

$$\Gamma(\alpha) = \int_0^{+\infty} x^{\alpha-1} e^{-x} dx, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{C}, \quad \text{Re}(\alpha) > 0.$$

DEFINITION 2.2 (CGFDs. (see [2]))

Let $\mu > 0$, ρ be a positive real number, $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^+$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $\alpha \in (n-1, n)$, and $g: [0, \mu] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a function of class C^n . The left-sided and right-sided of CGFDs of order α and parameter ρ are defined respectively by

$${}^C D_{0^+}^{\alpha, \rho} g(\eta) = \frac{\rho^{\alpha-n+1}}{\Gamma(n-\alpha)} \int_0^\eta s^{\rho-1} (\eta^\rho - s^\rho)^{n-\alpha-1} \left(s^{1-\rho} \frac{d}{ds} \right)^n g(s) ds \quad (5)$$

and

$${}^C D_{\mu^-}^{\alpha, \rho} g(\eta) = \frac{\rho^{\alpha-n+1}}{\Gamma(n-\alpha)} \int_\eta^\mu s^{\rho-1} (s^\rho - \eta^\rho)^{n-\alpha-1} \left(-s^{1-\rho} \frac{d}{ds} \right)^n g(s) ds. \quad (6)$$

The next two results justify the definition 2.2, since the Caputo-type of the generalized fractional derivative is an inverse operation of the generalized fractional integral.

THEOREM 2.3 (see [2])

Let $\alpha > 0$ be such that $\alpha \in (n-1, n)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\rho > 0$. Given a function $g \in C^n[0, \mu]$, we have

$$({}^{I_{0^+}^{\alpha, \rho}} {}^C D_{0^+}^{\alpha, \rho} g)(\eta) = g(\eta) - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{g^{(k)}(0)}{k!} \left(\frac{\eta^\rho}{\rho} \right)^k,$$

and

$$({}^{I_{\mu^-}^{\alpha, \rho}} {}^C D_{\mu^-}^{\alpha, \rho} g)(\eta) = g(\eta) - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{(-1)^k g^{(k)}(\mu)}{k!} \left(\frac{\mu^\rho - \eta^\rho}{\rho} \right)^k.$$

The following theorem yields the compositions of the fractional integral operators $I_{0^+}^{\alpha, \rho}$ and $I_{\mu^-}^{\alpha, \rho}$ with the fractional differential operators ${}^C D_{0^+}^{\alpha, \rho}$ and ${}^C D_{\mu^-}^{\alpha, \rho}$, respectively.

THEOREM 2.4 (see [32])

Let $\alpha, \beta, \rho \in \mathbb{R}$ be such that $\alpha > \beta$ and $\beta > 0$. If $g \in X_c^p[0, \mu]$, then for $\rho > 0$,

$$({}^C D_{0^+}^{\beta, \rho} I_{0^+}^{\alpha, \rho} g)(\eta) = (I_{0^+}^{\alpha-\beta, \rho} g)(\eta),$$

$$({}^C D_{\mu^-}^{\beta, \rho} I_{\mu^-}^{\alpha, \rho} g)(\eta) = (I_{\mu^-}^{\alpha-\beta, \rho} g)(\eta).$$

DEFINITION 2.5 (Riesz-generalized fractional integral. (see [1]))

Let $g(\eta) \in X_c^p(0, \mu)$ and $\alpha, \rho > 0$. Then, for $0 \leq \eta \leq \mu$, the generalized Riesz type integral is defined as

$$\begin{aligned} ({}^{RG} I_{\mu}^{\alpha, \rho} g)(\eta) &= \frac{\rho^{1-\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^\mu s^{\rho-1} |(s^\rho - \eta^\rho)|^{\alpha-1} g(s) ds \\ &= (I_{0^+}^{\alpha, \rho} g)(\eta) + (I_{\mu^-}^{\alpha, \rho} g)(\eta), \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

where $I_{0^+}^{\alpha, \rho}$ and $I_{\mu^-}^{\alpha, \rho}$ are left and right sided generalized fractional integrals, defined in (3) and (4), respectively.

Now, we define the R-CGFD.

DEFINITION 2.6 (Riesz-CGFD (see [1]))

Let $\alpha, \rho \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\operatorname{Re}(\alpha), \operatorname{Re}(\rho) > 0$ and $g(\eta) \in X_c^p(0, \mu)$ for $0 \leq \eta \leq \mu$. Then the R-CGFD is defined as

$$\begin{aligned} {}^R C D_{\mu}^{\alpha, \rho} g(\eta) &= \frac{\rho^{\alpha-n+1}}{\Gamma(n-\alpha)} \int_0^{\mu} s^{\rho-1} |(\eta^{\rho} - s^{\rho})|^{n-\alpha-1} \left(s^{1-\rho} \frac{d}{ds} \right)^n g(s) ds \\ &= \frac{1}{2} ({}^C D_{0^+}^{\alpha, \rho} + (-1)^n {}^C D_{\mu^-}^{\alpha, \rho}) g(\eta), \end{aligned}$$

where ${}^C D_{0^+}^{\alpha, \rho}$ and ${}^C D_{\mu^-}^{\alpha, \rho}$ are left and right sided of CGFDs which defined in (5) and (6), respectively.

LEMMA 2.7 (see [1])

Let $g \in AC_{\delta}^n[0, \mu]$ with $0 \leq \eta \leq \mu$. Then the following relation is true

$${}^R G I_{\mu}^{\alpha, \rho} {}^R C D_{\mu}^{\alpha, \rho} g(\eta) = \frac{1}{2} (I_{0^+}^{\alpha, \rho} {}^C D_{0^+}^{\alpha, \rho} + (-1)^n I_{\mu^-}^{\alpha, \rho} {}^C D_{\mu^-}^{\alpha, \rho}) g(\eta). \quad (8)$$

REMARK 2.8

If $1 < \alpha \leq 2$ and $\rho > 0$, then for $g(\eta) \in C[0, \mu]$, the relation illustrated in (8) becomes

$${}^R G I_{\mu}^{\alpha, \rho} {}^R C D_{\mu}^{\alpha, \rho} g(\eta) = g(\eta) - \frac{1}{2} [g(0) + g(\mu)] - \frac{\eta^{\rho}}{2\rho} [g'(0) + g'(\mu)] + \frac{\mu^{\rho}}{2\rho} g'(\mu).$$

REMARK 2.9

If $1 < \alpha \leq 2$, then, for all $g \in C[0, \mu]$, and by Remark 2.8, Theorem 2.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &{}^R G I_{\mu}^{\alpha-1, \rho} {}^R C D_{\mu}^{\alpha, \rho} g(\eta) \\ &= \frac{d}{d\eta} {}^R G I_{\mu}^{\alpha, \rho} {}^R C D_{\mu}^{\alpha, \rho} g(\eta) \\ &= \frac{d}{d\eta} \left[g(\eta) - \frac{1}{2} [g(0) + g(\mu)] + \frac{\mu^{\rho}}{2\rho} g'(\mu) - \frac{\eta^{\rho}}{2\rho} [g'(0) + g'(\mu)] \right] \\ &= g'(\eta) - \frac{[g'(0) + g'(\mu)]}{2} \eta^{\rho-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Furthermore, if $g'(0) + g'(\mu) = 0$, then

$${}^R G I_{\mu}^{\alpha-1, \rho} {}^R C D_{\mu}^{\alpha, \rho} g(\eta) = g'(\eta). \quad (10)$$

In addition, for each $\eta \in [0, \mu]$, using the fact that $\Gamma(\alpha + 1) = \alpha\Gamma(\alpha)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |g'(\eta)| &= |{}^R G I_{\mu}^{\alpha-1, \rho} {}^R C D_{\mu}^{\alpha, \rho} g(\eta)| \\ &= \left| \frac{\rho^{2-\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha-1)} \int_0^{\mu} \zeta^{\rho-1} |(\zeta^{\rho} - \eta^{\rho})|^{\alpha-2} {}^R C D_{\mu}^{\alpha, \rho} g(\zeta) d\zeta \right| \\ &\leq \frac{\rho^{2-\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha-1)} \int_0^{\mu} \zeta^{\rho-1} |(\zeta^{\rho} - \eta^{\rho})|^{\alpha-2} |{}^R C D_{\mu}^{\alpha, \rho} g(\zeta)| d\zeta \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \frac{\rho^{2-\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha-1)} \int_0^\eta \zeta^{\rho-1} (\eta^\rho - \zeta^\rho)^{\alpha-2} |{}^{RC}D_\mu^{\alpha,\rho} g(\zeta)| d\zeta \\
&\quad + \frac{\rho^{2-\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha-1)} \int_\eta^\mu \zeta^{\rho-1} (\zeta^\rho - \eta^\rho)^{\alpha-2} |{}^{RC}D_\mu^{\alpha,\rho} g(\zeta)| d\zeta \\
&\leq \sup_{0 \leq \eta \leq \mu} |{}^{RC}D_\mu^{\alpha,\rho} g(\eta)| \left[\frac{\rho^{2-\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha-1)} \int_0^\eta \zeta^{\rho-1} (\eta^\rho - \zeta^\rho)^{\alpha-2} d\zeta \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{\rho^{2-\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha-1)} \int_\eta^\mu \zeta^{\rho-1} (\zeta^\rho - \eta^\rho)^{\alpha-2} d\zeta \right] \tag{11} \\
&= \|{}^{RC}D_\mu^{\alpha,\rho} g\|_\infty \left[\frac{-\rho^{2-\alpha}}{\rho(\alpha-1)\Gamma(\alpha-1)} \int_0^\eta \frac{d}{d\zeta} ((\eta^\rho - \zeta^\rho)^{\alpha-1}) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{\rho^{2-\alpha}}{\rho(\alpha-1)\Gamma(\alpha-1)} \int_\eta^\mu \frac{d}{d\zeta} ((\zeta^\rho - \eta^\rho)^{\alpha-1}) \right] \\
&= \|{}^{RC}D_\mu^{\alpha,\rho} g\|_\infty \left\{ \frac{\rho^{1-\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \left[- [(\eta^\rho - \zeta^\rho)^{\alpha-1}]_0^\eta + [(\zeta^\rho - \eta^\rho)^{\alpha-1}]_\eta^\mu \right] \right\} \\
&= \frac{(\eta^{\rho(\alpha-1)} + (\mu^\rho - \eta^\rho)^{\alpha-1})}{\rho^{\alpha-1}\Gamma(\alpha)} \|{}^{RC}D_\mu^{\alpha,\rho} g\|_\infty \\
&\leq \frac{2\mu^{\rho(\alpha-1)}}{\rho^{\alpha-1}\Gamma(\alpha)} \|{}^{RC}D_\mu^{\alpha,\rho} g\|_\infty.
\end{aligned}$$

DEFINITION 2.10 (Equicontinuity)

Let E be a Banach space. We call a part P in $C(E)$ is equicontinuous if

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists \delta > 0 \forall u, v \in E \forall A \in P \|u - v\| < \delta \Rightarrow \|A(u) - A(v)\| < \varepsilon.$$

DEFINITION 2.11 (Complete continuity (see [16]))

We say $A: E \rightarrow E$ is completely continuous if for any bounded subset P of E , the set $A(P)$ is relatively compact.

THEOREM 2.12 (Arzelà-Ascoli's Theorem (see [18]))

Let $B \subset C(E, \mathbb{R}^n)$, where $E = [a, b] \subset \mathbb{R}$. B is relatively compact (i.e. \bar{B} is compact) if and only if

1. B is uniformly bounded,
2. B is equicontinuous.

Recall that a function f is uniformly bounded in B if there exists a constant $M > 0$ such that

$$\|f\| = \sup_{x \in E} |f(x)| \leq M \quad \text{for all } f \in B.$$

LEMMA 2.13 (The generalized Gronwall inequality (see [1]))

Let $\alpha > 0$, $0 < \eta < \mu$ and assume that $g(\eta)$, $u_1(\eta)$ and $u_2(\eta)$ are locally integrable, nonnegative and non-decreasing functions. Also, assume that $v_1(\eta)$ and $v_2(\eta)$ are a non-decreasing continuous functions such that $0 \leq v_1(\eta), v_2(\eta) \leq L$, where L is a constant.

Furthermore, if $g(\eta)$ satisfies the inequality

$$g(\eta) \leq u_1(\eta) + \rho^{1-\alpha} v_1(\eta) \int_0^\eta \zeta^{\rho-1} (\eta^\rho - \zeta^\rho)^{\alpha-1} g(\zeta) d\zeta \\ + u_2(\eta) + \rho^{1-\alpha} v_2(\eta) \int_\eta^\mu \zeta^{\rho-1} (\zeta^\rho - \eta^\rho)^{\alpha-1} g(\zeta) d\zeta,$$

then the following inequality holds true

$$g(\eta) \leq (u_1(\eta) + u_2(\eta)) E_{\alpha,1}(\rho^{-\alpha} v_2(\eta) \Gamma(\alpha) (\mu^\rho - \eta^\rho)^\alpha) \\ \times E_{\alpha,1}(\rho^{-\alpha} v_1(\eta) \Gamma(\alpha) \eta^{\rho\alpha}),$$

where $E_{\alpha,1}(\cdot)$ is a Mittag-Leffler function.

THEOREM 2.14 (Banach's Fixed Point Theorem (see [15]))

Let E be a Banach space and $Q: E \rightarrow E$ is a contraction mapping. Then Q has a fixed point, i.e.

$$\exists! x \in E : Qx = x.$$

THEOREM 2.15 (Schauder's Fixed Point Theorem (see [20]))

Let E be a Banach space, and let P be a closed, convex and non-empty subset of E . Let $T: P \rightarrow P$ be a continuous mapping such that $T(P)$ is a relatively compact subset of E . Then T has at least one fixed point in P .

THEOREM 2.16 (Nonlinear alternative of Leray-Schauder type (see [20]))

Let E be a Banach space with $P \subset E$ be a closed and convex. U be an open subset of P with $0 \in U$. Assume that $A: \bar{U} \rightarrow P$ is a continuous, compact (that is, $A(\bar{U})$ is a relatively compact subset of P) map. Then either;

- (i) A has a fixed point in \bar{U} ; or
- (ii) there is a point $u \in \partial U$ and $\sigma \in (0, 1)$ with $u = \sigma A(u)$.

3. The main results

3.1. Statement of the problem

In this subsection, we consider the following problem of the space-fractional diffusion equation

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u(x, t)}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial^{\alpha, \rho} u(x, t)}{\partial |x|^\alpha}, & (x, t) \in [0, X] \times [t_0, \infty[, \\ u(0, t) + u(X, t) = t^\beta M, & t \in [t_0, \infty[, \\ \frac{\partial u(0, t)}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial u(X, t)}{\partial x} = 0, & t \in [t_0, \infty[, \end{cases}$$

where $\frac{\partial^{\alpha, \rho} u}{\partial |x|^\alpha}$ the R-CGFD of order α ($1 < \alpha \leq 2$), and $\beta, M \in \mathbb{R}$. Under the self-similar solution form

$$u(x, t) = t^\beta f\left(\frac{x}{t^{\frac{1}{\alpha\rho}}}\right), \quad \beta \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (12)$$

We should first deduce the equation satisfied by the function f in (12).

THEOREM 3.1

Let $\alpha, \beta, \rho \in \mathbb{R}$ provided that $1 < \alpha \leq 2$, $\rho > 0$ and $(x, t) \in [0, X] \times [t_0, \infty[$ for some $X, t_0 > 0$. Then the transformation

$$u(x, t) = t^\beta f(\eta) \quad \text{with } \eta = \frac{x}{t^{\frac{1}{\alpha\rho}}},$$

reduces the partial fractional differential equation (1) to the ordinary differential equation of fractional order of the form

$${}^R C D_\mu^{\alpha, \rho} f(\eta) = \beta f(\eta) - \frac{1}{\alpha\rho} \eta f'(\eta), \quad \eta \in [0, \mu],$$

where $\mu = X t_0^{\frac{-1}{\alpha\rho}}$.

Proof. Let $\eta = \frac{x}{t^{\frac{1}{\alpha\rho}}}$. From (12), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial u(x, t)}{\partial t} &= \beta t^{\beta-1} f(\eta) + t^\beta \left[-\frac{1}{\alpha\rho} t^{-\frac{1}{\alpha\rho}-1} x f'(\eta) \right] \\ &= t^{\beta-1} \left[\beta f(\eta) - \frac{1}{\alpha\rho} \eta f'(\eta) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

Furthermore, for $1 < \alpha \leq 2$, $\rho > 0$, by the definition 2.6 of the R-CGFD, equation (12) and by putting $\zeta = \frac{s}{t^{\frac{1}{\alpha\rho}}}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{\partial^{\alpha, \rho} u(x, t)}{\partial |x|^\alpha} \\ &= \frac{t^\beta \rho^{\alpha-n+1}}{\Gamma(n-\alpha)} \int_0^X |(x^\rho - s^\rho)|^{n-\alpha-1} s^{\rho-1} \left(s^{1-\rho} \frac{d}{ds} \right)^n f\left(\frac{s}{t^{\frac{1}{\alpha\rho}}}\right) ds \\ &= \frac{t^\beta}{2} ({}^C D_{0^+}^{\alpha, \rho} + {}^C D_{X^-}^{\alpha, \rho}) f\left(\frac{x}{t^{\frac{1}{\alpha\rho}}}\right) \\ &= \frac{t^\beta \rho^{\alpha-n+1}}{2\Gamma(n-\alpha)} \int_0^x (x^\rho - s^\rho)^{n-\alpha-1} s^{\rho-1} \left(s^{1-\rho} \frac{d}{ds} \right)^n f\left(\frac{s}{t^{\frac{1}{\alpha\rho}}}\right) ds \\ &\quad + \frac{t^\beta \rho^{\alpha-n+1}}{2\Gamma(n-\alpha)} \int_x^X (s^\rho - x^\rho)^{n-\alpha-1} s^{\rho-1} \left(s^{1-\rho} \frac{d}{ds} \right)^n f\left(\frac{s}{t^{\frac{1}{\alpha\rho}}}\right) ds \\ &= \frac{t^{\beta+\frac{1}{\alpha\rho}} \rho^{\alpha-n+1}}{2\Gamma(n-\alpha)} \\ &\quad \times \int_0^\eta (x^\rho - (\zeta t^{\frac{1}{\alpha\rho}})^\rho)^{n-\alpha-1} (\zeta t^{\frac{1}{\alpha\rho}})^{\rho-1} \left((\zeta t^{\frac{1}{\alpha\rho}})^{1-\rho} \frac{d}{t^{\frac{1}{\alpha\rho}} d\zeta} \right)^n f(\zeta) d\zeta \\ &\quad + \frac{t^{\beta+\frac{1}{\alpha\rho}} \rho^{\alpha-n+1}}{2\Gamma(n-\alpha)} \\ &\quad \times \int_\eta^\mu ((\zeta t^{\frac{1}{\alpha\rho}})^\rho - x^\rho)^{n-\alpha-1} (\zeta t^{\frac{1}{\alpha\rho}})^{\rho-1} \left((\zeta t^{\frac{1}{\alpha\rho}})^{1-\rho} \frac{d}{t^{\frac{1}{\alpha\rho}} d\zeta} \right)^n f(\zeta) d\zeta \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{t^{\beta+\frac{1}{\alpha\rho}}\rho^{\alpha-n+1}}{2\Gamma(n-\alpha)} \\
&\quad \times \int_0^\eta t^{\frac{1}{\alpha}[n-\alpha-1]+\frac{1}{\alpha\rho}[\rho-1+n(1-\rho)-n]}(\eta^\rho-\zeta^\rho)^{n-\alpha-1}\zeta^{\rho-1}\left(\zeta^{1-\rho}\frac{d}{d\zeta}\right)^n f(\zeta)d\zeta \\
&\quad + \frac{t^{\beta+\frac{1}{\alpha\rho}}\rho^{\alpha-n+1}}{2\Gamma(n-\alpha)} \\
&\quad \times \int_\eta^\mu t^{\frac{1}{\alpha}[n-\alpha-1]+\frac{1}{\alpha\rho}[\rho-1+n(1-\rho)-n]}(\zeta^\rho-\eta^\rho)^{n-\alpha-1}\zeta^{\rho-1}\left(\zeta^{1-\rho}\frac{d}{d\zeta}\right)^n f(\zeta)d\zeta \\
&= t^{\beta-1}\frac{\rho^{\alpha-n+1}}{\Gamma(n-\alpha)}\int_0^\mu |(\eta^\rho-\zeta^\rho)|^{n-\alpha-1}\zeta^{\rho-1}\left(\zeta^{1-\rho}\frac{d}{d\zeta}\right)^n f(\zeta)d\zeta \\
&= t^{\beta-1} {}^R C D_\mu^{\alpha,\rho} f(\eta).
\end{aligned}$$

By substituting (13) and (14) in (1), we get the following equation

$${}^R C D_\mu^{\alpha,\rho} f(\eta) = \beta f(\eta) - \frac{1}{\alpha\rho}\eta f'(\eta), \quad \eta \in [0, \mu],$$

where $\mu = X t_0^{\frac{-1}{\alpha\rho}}$.

3.2. Existence and uniqueness results of the basic profile

In this subsection, to study the following problem, we will need the results in subsection 3.1 along with Theorem 3.1,

$${}^R C D_\mu^{\alpha,\rho} f(\eta) = \beta f(\eta) - \frac{1}{\alpha\rho}\eta f'(\eta), \quad 1 < \alpha \leq 2, \quad \eta \in [0, \mu], \quad (15)$$

with the conditions

$$f(0) + f(\mu) = M, \quad f'(0) + f'(\mu) = 0 \quad (16)$$

where $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\rho, \mu > 0$.

In what follows, to derive the principal theorems, we will need the following lemmas.

LEMMA 3.2

Let $\mu > 0$, we define

$$E = \{f \in C[0, \mu] : f'(0) + f'(\mu) = 0\}. \quad (17)$$

Then $(E, \|\cdot\|_\infty)$ is a Banach space.

Proof. Let μ be a positive parameter. It is obvious that the space E with the norm $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ is a subspace of the Banach space $C[0, \mu]$. So, to show that E is a Banach space, it is enough to demonstrate that this later is closed in $C[0, \mu]$.

Let $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in E$ be a real sequence such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n = f$ in $C[0, \mu]$. Then we demonstrate that $f \in E$. Let $\eta, v \in [0, \mu] \times [0, \mu]$. We have

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d}{d\eta}[f_n(\eta) - f(\eta)] = \frac{d}{d\eta}f_n(\eta) - \frac{d}{d\eta}f(\eta), \\ \frac{d}{dv}[f_n(v) - f(v)] = \frac{d}{dv}f_n(v) - \frac{d}{dv}f(v). \end{cases}$$

Since f_n is continuous, we get

$$\begin{cases} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{d}{d\eta}f_n(\eta) = \frac{d}{d\eta}f(\eta), \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{d}{dv}f_n(v) = \frac{d}{dv}f(v) \end{cases} \quad \text{for all } \eta, v \in [0, \mu] \times [0, \mu].$$

Then

$$\sup_{\eta} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{d}{d\eta}f_n(\eta) - \frac{d}{d\eta}f(\eta) \right| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\eta} \left| \frac{d}{d\eta}f_n(\eta) - \frac{d}{d\eta}f(\eta) \right| = 0,$$

and

$$\sup_v \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{d}{dv}f_n(v) - \frac{d}{dv}f(v) \right| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_v \left| \frac{d}{dv}f_n(v) - \frac{d}{dv}f(v) \right| = 0.$$

This implies that

$$\begin{cases} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \frac{d}{d\eta}f_n(\eta) - \frac{d}{d\eta}f(\eta) \right\|_{\infty} = 0, \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \frac{d}{dv}f_n(v) - \frac{d}{dv}f(v) \right\|_{\infty} = 0. \end{cases}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \frac{d}{d\eta}f_n(\eta) - \frac{d}{d\eta}f(\eta) + \frac{d}{dv}f_n(v) - \frac{d}{dv}f(v) \right\|_{\infty} \\ & \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \frac{d}{d\eta}f_n(\eta) - \frac{d}{d\eta}f(\eta) \right\|_{\infty} + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \frac{d}{dv}f_n(v) - \frac{d}{dv}f(v) \right\|_{\infty} \\ & \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \frac{d}{d\eta}f_n(\eta) - \frac{d}{d\eta}f(\eta) + \frac{d}{dv}f_n(v) - \frac{d}{dv}f(v) \right\|_{\infty} = 0.$$

Then, for $\eta = 0$ and $v = \mu$, we have also

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{d}{d\eta}f_n \right)(0) + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{d}{dv}f_n \right)(\mu) = f'(0) + f'(\mu) = 0,$$

then $f \in E$. Consequently, the subspace E is closed in $C[0, \mu]$. Hence $(E, \|\cdot\|_{\infty})$ is a Banach space.

In the next lemma, we will give the solution of problem (15)–(16).

LEMMA 3.3

Let $\alpha, \beta, \rho, \mu \in \mathbb{R}$ provided that $1 < \alpha \leq 2$ and $\rho, \mu > 0$. For a given f, f' , ${}^{RC}D_{\mu}^{\alpha, \rho} f \in C[0, \mu]$. Then the problem (15)–(16) is equivalent to the following integral equation

$$\begin{aligned} f(\eta) = & w + \frac{\rho^{1-\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^{\eta} (\eta^{\rho} - \zeta^{\rho})^{\alpha-1} \zeta^{\rho-1} \left(\beta f(\zeta) - \frac{1}{\alpha\rho} \zeta f'(\zeta) \right) d\zeta \\ & + \frac{\rho^{1-\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{\eta}^{\mu} (\zeta^{\rho} - \eta^{\rho})^{\alpha-1} \zeta^{\rho-1} \left(\beta f(\zeta) - \frac{1}{\alpha\rho} \zeta f'(\zeta) \right) d\zeta \end{aligned}$$

for all $\eta \in [0, \mu]$, where

$$w = \frac{M}{2} - \frac{\mu^{\rho}}{2\rho} f'(\mu). \quad (18)$$

Proof. First, by applying the Riesz-generalized fractional integral ${}^{RG}I_{\mu}^{\alpha, \rho}$ defined in (7) to both sides of equation (15), we obtain

$${}^{RG}I_{\mu}^{\alpha, \rho} {}^{RC}D_{\mu}^{\alpha, \rho} f = {}^{RG}I_{\mu}^{\alpha, \rho} \left(\beta f(\eta) - \frac{1}{\alpha\rho} \eta f'(\eta) \right). \quad (19)$$

From Lemma 2.7 and Remark 2.8, we get

$${}^{RG}I_{\mu}^{\alpha, \rho} {}^{RC}D_{\mu}^{\alpha, \rho} f(\eta) = f(\eta) - \frac{1}{2}[f(0) + f(\mu)] - \frac{\eta^{\rho}}{2\rho}[f'(0) + f'(\mu)] + \frac{\mu^{\rho}}{2\rho} f'(\mu).$$

Then the fractional integral equation (19), can be re-written as follows

$$\begin{aligned} f(\eta) = & {}^{RG}I_{\mu}^{\alpha, \rho} \left(\beta f(\eta) - \frac{1}{\alpha\rho} \eta f'(\eta) \right) + \frac{1}{2}[f(0) + f(\mu)] \\ & + \frac{\eta^{\rho}}{2\rho}[f'(0) + f'(\mu)] - \frac{\mu^{\rho}}{2\rho} f'(\mu). \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

Applying (16) to (20) yields

$$f(\eta) = \frac{\rho^{1-\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^{\mu} |(\zeta^{\rho} - \eta^{\rho})|^{\alpha-1} \zeta^{\rho-1} \left(\beta f(\zeta) - \frac{1}{\alpha\rho} \zeta f'(\zeta) \right) + \frac{M}{2} - \frac{\mu^{\rho}}{2\rho} f'(\mu).$$

Then, according to (18), the problem (15)–(16) is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} f(\eta) = & w + \frac{\rho^{1-\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^{\eta} (\eta^{\rho} - \zeta^{\rho})^{\alpha-1} \zeta^{\rho-1} \left(\beta f(\zeta) - \frac{1}{\alpha\rho} \zeta f'(\zeta) \right) d\zeta \\ & + \frac{\rho^{1-\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{\eta}^{\mu} (\zeta^{\rho} - \eta^{\rho})^{\alpha-1} \zeta^{\rho-1} \left(\beta f(\zeta) - \frac{1}{\alpha\rho} \zeta f'(\zeta) \right) d\zeta. \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 3.4

Let T be an integral operator defined by

$$\begin{aligned} Tf(\eta) = & w + \frac{\rho^{1-\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^\eta (\eta^\rho - \zeta^\rho)^{\alpha-1} \zeta^{\rho-1} \left(\beta f(\zeta) - \frac{1}{\alpha\rho} \zeta f'(\zeta) \right) d\zeta \\ & + \frac{\rho^{1-\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_\eta^\mu (\zeta^\rho - \eta^\rho)^{\alpha-1} \zeta^{\rho-1} \left(\beta f(\zeta) - \frac{1}{\alpha\rho} \zeta f'(\zeta) \right) d\zeta. \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

provided that the supremum norm is

$$\|Tf\|_\infty = \sup_{0 \leq \eta \leq \mu} |Tf(\eta)|.$$

Then, T maps E into itself ($T: E \rightarrow E$).

Proof. Let $1 < \alpha \leq 2$ and $f \in E$ satisfy

$${}^R C D_\mu^{\alpha, \rho} f(\eta) = \beta f(\eta) - \frac{1}{\alpha\rho} \eta f'(\eta),$$

where E is the Banach space defined by (17). Then, from (21), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{d\eta} Tf(\eta) &= \frac{d}{d\eta} \left[w + {}^R G I_\mu^{\alpha, \rho} \left(\beta f(\eta) - \frac{1}{\alpha\rho} \eta f'(\eta) \right) \right] \\ &= \frac{d}{d\eta} \left[{}^R G I_\mu^{\alpha, \rho} \left(\beta f(\eta) - \frac{1}{\alpha\rho} \eta f'(\eta) \right) \right] = {}^R G I_\mu^{\alpha-1, \rho} \left(\beta f(\eta) - \frac{1}{\alpha\rho} \eta f'(\eta) \right) \\ &= {}^R G I_\mu^{\alpha-1, \rho} {}^R G D_\mu^{\alpha, \rho} f(\eta). \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (9) and (10) in Remark 2.9 that

$$\frac{d}{d\eta} Tf(\eta) = {}^R G I_\mu^{\alpha-1, \rho} {}^R C D_\mu^{\alpha, \rho} f(\eta) = f'(\eta).$$

Therefore

$$\frac{d}{d\eta} Tf(0) + \frac{d}{d\eta} Tf(\mu) = f'(0) + f'(\mu) = 0.$$

Hence, $T(E) \subset E$.

Next, we will deal with the existence and uniqueness of solution for (15)–(16).

Firstly, using Banach's Fixed Point Theorem, we will derive the conditions of the solutions' existence.

THEOREM 3.5

Let $\alpha, \beta, \rho, \mu \in \mathbb{R}$, provided that $1 < \alpha \leq 2$, $\rho > 0$ and $\mu \in \left(0, \left(\frac{\rho^\alpha \Gamma(\alpha+1)}{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{\rho(\alpha-1)+1}} \right)$.
If

$$\frac{2\mu^{\rho\alpha} |\beta|}{\rho^\alpha \Gamma(\alpha+1) - 2\mu^{\rho(\alpha-1)+1}} < 1. \quad (22)$$

Then, the problem (15)–(16) has a unique solution on $[0, \mu]$.

Proof. First, we will transform the problem (15)–(16) into a fixed point problem. By Lemma 3.3, we define the operator $T: E \rightarrow E$ as follows

$$\begin{aligned} Tf(\eta) = & w + \frac{\rho^{1-\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^\eta (\eta^\rho - \zeta^\rho)^{\alpha-1} \zeta^{\rho-1} \left(\beta f(\zeta) - \frac{1}{\alpha\rho} \zeta f'(\zeta) \right) d\zeta \\ & + \frac{\rho^{1-\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_\eta^\mu (\zeta^\rho - \eta^\rho)^{\alpha-1} \zeta^{\rho-1} \left(\beta f(\zeta) - \frac{1}{\alpha\rho} \zeta f'(\zeta) \right) d\zeta. \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

Since the problem (15)–(16) can be written in the form of the fractional integral equation (23), the fixed point of T is to be considered as a solution for (15)–(16).

Let $f, G \in E$, provided that

$$\begin{aligned} {}^R C D_\mu^{\alpha, \rho} f(\eta) &= \beta f(\eta) - \frac{1}{\alpha\rho} \eta f'(\eta), \\ {}^R C D_\mu^{\alpha, \rho} G(\eta) &= \beta G(\eta) - \frac{1}{\alpha\rho} \eta G'(\eta). \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & Tf(\eta) - TG(\eta) \\ &= \frac{\rho^{1-\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^\eta (\eta^\rho - \zeta^\rho)^{\alpha-1} \zeta^{\rho-1} \left(\beta(f(\zeta) - G(\zeta)) - \frac{\zeta}{\alpha\rho} (f'(\zeta) - G'(\zeta)) \right) d\zeta \\ &+ \frac{\rho^{1-\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_\eta^\mu (\zeta^\rho - \eta^\rho)^{\alpha-1} \zeta^{\rho-1} \left(\beta(f(\zeta) - G(\zeta)) - \frac{\zeta}{\alpha\rho} (f'(\zeta) - G'(\zeta)) \right) d\zeta. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} & |Tf(\eta) - TG(\eta)| \\ & \leq \frac{\rho^{1-\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^\eta (\eta^\rho - \zeta^\rho)^{\alpha-1} \zeta^{\rho-1} |{}^R C D_\mu^{\alpha, \rho} f(\zeta) - {}^R C D_\mu^{\alpha, \rho} G(\zeta)| d\zeta \\ & + \frac{\rho^{1-\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_\eta^\mu (\zeta^\rho - \eta^\rho)^{\alpha-1} \zeta^{\rho-1} |{}^R C D_\mu^{\alpha, \rho} f(\zeta) - {}^R C D_\mu^{\alpha, \rho} G(\zeta)| d\zeta. \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

Moreover, for each $\eta \in [0, \mu]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |{}^R C D_\mu^{\alpha, \rho} f(\eta) - {}^R C D_\mu^{\alpha, \rho} G(\eta)| &= \left| \beta(f(\eta) - G(\eta)) - \frac{\eta}{\alpha\rho} (f'(\eta) - G'(\eta)) \right| \\ &\leq |\beta| |f(\eta) - G(\eta)| + \frac{\mu}{\alpha\rho} |f'(\eta) - G'(\eta)|. \end{aligned}$$

Using (11) in Remark 2.9, we find

$$\| {}^R C D_\mu^{\alpha, \rho} f - {}^R C D_\mu^{\alpha, \rho} G \|_\infty \leq |\beta| \|f - G\|_\infty + \frac{2\mu^{\rho(\alpha-1)+1}}{\rho^\alpha \Gamma(\alpha+1)} \| {}^R C D_\mu^{\alpha, \rho} f - {}^R C D_\mu^{\alpha, \rho} G \|_\infty,$$

which yields

$$\left[1 - \frac{2\mu^{\rho(\alpha-1)+1}}{\rho^\alpha \Gamma(\alpha+1)} \right] \| {}^R C D_\mu^{\alpha, \rho} f - {}^R C D_\mu^{\alpha, \rho} G \|_\infty \leq |\beta| \|f - G\|_\infty.$$

As $\rho^\alpha \Gamma(\alpha + 1) - 2\mu^{\rho(\alpha-1)+1} > 0$, we get

$$\|{}^{RC}D_\mu^{\alpha,\rho} f - {}^{RC}D_\mu^{\alpha,\rho} G\|_\infty \leq \frac{|\beta| \rho^\alpha \Gamma(\alpha + 1)}{\rho^\alpha \Gamma(\alpha + 1) - 2\mu^{\rho(\alpha-1)+1}} \|f - G\|_\infty.$$

Thus, (24) can be re-written as

$$\begin{aligned} & \|Tf - TG\|_\infty \\ & \leq \frac{|\beta| \rho^\alpha \Gamma(\alpha + 1)}{\rho^\alpha \Gamma(\alpha + 1) - 2\mu^{\rho(\alpha-1)+1}} \|f - G\|_\infty \\ & \quad \times \left[\frac{\rho^{1-\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^\eta (\eta^\rho - \zeta^\rho)^{\alpha-1} \zeta^{\rho-1} d\zeta + \frac{\rho^{1-\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_\eta^\mu (\zeta^\rho - \eta^\rho)^{\alpha-1} \zeta^{\rho-1} d\zeta \right] \\ & \leq \left(\frac{2\mu^{\rho\alpha}}{\rho^\alpha \Gamma(\alpha + 1)} \right) \left(\frac{|\beta| \rho^\alpha \Gamma(\alpha + 1)}{\rho^\alpha \Gamma(\alpha + 1) - 2\mu^{\rho(\alpha-1)+1}} \right) \|f - G\|_\infty \\ & \leq \frac{2\mu^{\rho\alpha} |\beta|}{\rho^\alpha \Gamma(\alpha + 1) - 2\mu^{\rho(\alpha-1)+1}} \|f - G\|_\infty. \end{aligned}$$

By (22), T is a contraction mapping. Using the principle of Banach's Fixed Point Theorem 2.14, we deduce that T admits a unique fixed point which is a unique solution of the problem (15)–(16) on $[0, \mu]$.

Secondly, using the fixed point theorem of Schauder, we will derive the conditions of the solutions' existence.

THEOREM 3.6

Let $\rho, \mu > 0$, $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ and $1 < \alpha \leq 2$. If

$$\frac{\mu^{\rho(\alpha-1)+1} + \mu^{\rho\alpha} |\beta|}{\rho^\alpha \Gamma(\alpha + 1)} < \frac{1}{2}, \quad (25)$$

then, the problem (15)–(16) has at least one solution on $[0, \mu]$.

Proof. Let the operator T be defined in (23). We have already transformed the problem (15)–(16) into a fixed point problem

$$\begin{aligned} Tf(\eta) &= w + \frac{\rho^{1-\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^\eta (\eta^\rho - \zeta^\rho)^{\alpha-1} \zeta^{\rho-1} \left(\beta f(\zeta) - \frac{1}{\alpha\rho} \zeta f'(\zeta) \right) d\zeta \\ & \quad + \frac{\rho^{1-\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_\eta^\mu (\zeta^\rho - \eta^\rho)^{\alpha-1} \zeta^{\rho-1} \left(\beta f(\zeta) - \frac{1}{\alpha\rho} \zeta f'(\zeta) \right) d\zeta. \end{aligned}$$

We shall show that T satisfies the assumption of Schauder's Fixed Point Theorem 2.15. The proof will be given in three claims.

CLAIM 1: T is a continuous operator.

Let $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence provided that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n = f$ in E . Then, for each $\eta \in [0, \mu]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& |Tf_n(\eta) - Tf(\eta)| \\
& \leq \frac{\rho^{1-\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^\eta (\eta^\rho - \zeta^\rho)^{\alpha-1} \zeta^{\rho-1} \left| \beta(f_n(\zeta) - f(\zeta)) - \frac{\zeta}{\alpha\rho} (f'_n(\zeta) - f'(\zeta)) \right| d\zeta \quad (26) \\
& \quad + \frac{\rho^{1-\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_\eta^\mu (\zeta^\rho - \eta^\rho)^{\alpha-1} \zeta^{\rho-1} \left| \beta(f_n(\zeta) - f(\zeta)) - \frac{\zeta}{\alpha\rho} (f'_n(\zeta) - f'(\zeta)) \right| d\zeta,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$${}^{RC}D_\mu^{\alpha,\rho} f_n(\eta) = \beta f_n(\eta) - \frac{\eta}{\alpha\rho} f'_n(\eta) \quad \text{and} \quad {}^{RC}D_\mu^{\alpha,\rho} f(\eta) = \beta f(\eta) - \frac{\eta}{\alpha\rho} f'(\eta).$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
& |{}^{RC}D_\mu^{\alpha,\rho} f_n(\eta) - {}^{RC}D_\mu^{\alpha,\rho} f(\eta)| = \left| \beta(f_n(\eta) - f(\eta)) - \frac{\eta}{\alpha\rho} (f'_n(\eta) - f'(\eta)) \right| \\
& \leq |\beta| |f_n(\eta) - f(\eta)| + \frac{\mu}{\alpha\rho} |f'_n(\eta) - f'(\eta)|.
\end{aligned}$$

Using (11) in Remark 2.9, we find

$$\|{}^{RC}D_\mu^{\alpha,\rho} f_n - {}^{RC}D_\mu^{\alpha,\rho} f\|_\infty \leq |\beta| \|f_n - f\|_\infty + \frac{2\mu^{\rho(\alpha-1)+1}}{\rho^\alpha \Gamma(\alpha+1)} \|{}^{RC}D_\mu^{\alpha,\rho} f_n - {}^{RC}D_\mu^{\alpha,\rho} f\|_\infty,$$

which yields

$$\left[1 - \frac{2\mu^{\rho(\alpha-1)+1}}{\rho^\alpha \Gamma(\alpha+1)} \right] \|{}^{RC}D_\mu^{\alpha,\rho} f_n - {}^{RC}D_\mu^{\alpha,\rho} f\|_\infty \leq |\beta| \|f_n - f\|_\infty.$$

As $\rho^\alpha \Gamma(\alpha+1) - 2\mu^{\rho(\alpha-1)+1} > 2\mu^{\rho\alpha} |\beta| > 0$, we get

$$\|{}^{RC}D_\mu^{\alpha,\rho} f_n - {}^{RC}D_\mu^{\alpha,\rho} f\|_\infty \leq \frac{|\beta| \rho^\alpha \Gamma(\alpha+1)}{\rho^\alpha \Gamma(\alpha+1) - 2\mu^{\rho(\alpha-1)+1}} \|f_n - f\|_\infty.$$

Because $f_n \rightarrow f$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then we get ${}^{RC}D_\mu^{\alpha,\rho} f_n \rightarrow {}^{RC}D_\mu^{\alpha,\rho} f$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for every $\eta \in [0, \mu]$.

Now let $S_0 > 0$, such that for every $\eta \in [0, \mu]$, we have

$$|{}^{RC}D_\mu^{\alpha,\rho} f_n| \leq S_0 \quad \text{and} \quad |{}^{RC}D_\mu^{\alpha,\rho} f| \leq S_0.$$

Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& |Tf_n(\eta) - Tf(\eta)| \\
& \leq \frac{\rho^{1-\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^\eta (\eta^\rho - \zeta^\rho)^{\alpha-1} \zeta^{\rho-1} \left| \beta(f_n(\zeta) - f(\zeta)) - \frac{\zeta}{\alpha\rho} (f'_n(\zeta) - f'(\zeta)) \right| d\zeta \\
& \quad + \frac{\rho^{1-\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_\eta^\mu (\zeta^\rho - \eta^\rho)^{\alpha-1} \zeta^{\rho-1} \left| \beta(f_n(\zeta) - f(\zeta)) - \frac{\zeta}{\alpha\rho} (f'_n(\zeta) - f'(\zeta)) \right| d\zeta \\
& \leq \frac{\rho^{1-\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^\eta (\eta^\rho - \zeta^\rho)^{\alpha-1} \zeta^{\rho-1} |{}^{RC}D_\mu^{\alpha,\rho} f_n(\zeta) - {}^{RC}D_\mu^{\alpha,\rho} f(\zeta)| d\zeta
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \frac{\rho^{1-\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{\eta}^{\mu} (\zeta^{\rho} - \eta^{\rho})^{\alpha-1} \zeta^{\rho-1} |{}^{RC}D_{\mu}^{\alpha, \rho} f_n(\zeta) - {}^{RC}D_{\mu}^{\alpha, \rho} f(\zeta)| d\zeta \\
& \leq \frac{\rho^{1-\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^{\eta} (\eta^{\rho} - \zeta^{\rho})^{\alpha-1} \zeta^{\rho-1} [|{}^{RC}D_{\mu}^{\alpha, \rho} f_n(\zeta)| + |{}^{RC}D_{\mu}^{\alpha, \rho} f(\zeta)|] d\zeta \\
& \quad + \frac{\rho^{1-\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{\eta}^{\mu} (\zeta^{\rho} - \eta^{\rho})^{\alpha-1} \zeta^{\rho-1} [|{}^{RC}D_{\mu}^{\alpha, \rho} f_n(\zeta)| + |{}^{RC}D_{\mu}^{\alpha, \rho} f(\zeta)|] d\zeta \\
& \leq \frac{2S_0\rho^{1-\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^{\eta} (\eta^{\rho} - \zeta^{\rho})^{\alpha-1} \zeta^{\rho-1} d\zeta + \frac{2S_0\rho^{1-\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{\eta}^{\mu} (\zeta^{\rho} - \eta^{\rho})^{\alpha-1} \zeta^{\rho-1} d\zeta.
\end{aligned}$$

Since the functions

$$\zeta \rightarrow \frac{2S_0\rho^{1-\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} [(\eta^{\rho} - \zeta^{\rho})^{\alpha-1} \zeta^{\rho-1}] \quad \text{and} \quad \zeta \rightarrow \frac{2S_0\rho^{1-\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} [(\zeta^{\rho} - \eta^{\rho})^{\alpha-1} \zeta^{\rho-1}]$$

are integrable on $[0, \eta]$ and $[\eta, \mu]$ respectively for each $\eta \in [0, \mu]$, then the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem and (26) implies that $|Tf_n(\eta) - Tf(\eta)| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Thus

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|Tf_n - Tf\|_{\infty} = 0.$$

Consequently, T is continuous.

CLAIM 2: According to (25), let

$$R \geq \left(1 + \frac{2\mu^{\rho\alpha}|\beta|}{\rho^{\alpha}\Gamma(\alpha+1) - 2(\mu^{\rho(\alpha-1)+1} + \mu^{\rho\alpha}|\beta|)}\right) |w|,$$

and define a subset

$$E_R = \{f \in E : \|f\|_{\infty} \leq R, R > 0\}.$$

Thus, E_R is a closed, bounded and convex subset of E .

Let $f \in E_R$ and T be the integral operator defined in (23). Then, we prove that $T(E_R) \subset E_R$. In fact, by (11) in Remark 2.9, we have

$$|{}^{RC}D_{\mu}^{\alpha, \rho} f(\eta)| = \left| \beta f(\eta) - \frac{\eta}{\alpha\rho} f'(\eta) \right| \leq |\beta| |f(\eta)| + \frac{\mu}{\alpha\rho} |f'(\eta)|.$$

This implies that

$$\|{}^{RC}D_{\mu}^{\alpha, \rho} f\|_{\infty} \leq \frac{|\beta|\rho^{\alpha}\Gamma(\alpha+1)}{\rho^{\alpha}\Gamma(\alpha+1) - 2\mu^{\rho(\alpha-1)+1}} R. \quad (27)$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
|Tf(\eta)| &\leq |w| + \frac{\rho^{1-\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^\eta (\eta^\rho - \zeta^\rho)^{\alpha-1} \zeta^{\rho-1} \left| \beta f(\zeta) - \frac{\zeta}{\alpha\rho} f'(\zeta) \right| d\zeta \\
&\quad + \frac{\rho^{1-\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_\eta^\mu (\zeta^\rho - \eta^\rho)^{\alpha-1} \zeta^{\rho-1} \left| \beta f(\zeta) - \frac{\zeta}{\alpha\rho} f'(\zeta) \right| d\zeta \\
&\leq |w| + \frac{\rho^{1-\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^\eta (\eta^\rho - \zeta^\rho)^{\alpha-1} \zeta^{\rho-1} |{}^{RC}D_\mu^{\alpha,\rho} f(\zeta)| d\zeta \\
&\quad + \frac{\rho^{1-\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_\eta^\mu (\zeta^\rho - \eta^\rho)^{\alpha-1} \zeta^{\rho-1} |{}^{RC}D_\mu^{\alpha,\rho} f(\zeta)| d\zeta \\
&\leq |w| + \frac{|\beta| \rho^\alpha \Gamma(\alpha+1)}{\rho^\alpha \Gamma(\alpha+1) - 2\mu^{\rho(\alpha-1)+1}} R \left[\frac{2\mu^{\rho\alpha}}{\rho^\alpha \Gamma(\alpha+1)} \right] \\
&\leq |w| + \frac{2\mu^{\rho\alpha} |\beta| R}{\rho^\alpha \Gamma(\alpha+1) - 2\mu^{\rho(\alpha-1)+1}} \\
&\leq \frac{|w| \left(1 + \frac{2\mu^{\rho\alpha} |\beta|}{\rho^\alpha \Gamma(\alpha+1) - 2(\mu^{\rho(\alpha-1)+1} + \mu^{\rho\alpha} |\beta|)} \right)}{\left(1 + \frac{2\mu^{\rho\alpha} |\beta|}{\rho^\alpha \Gamma(\alpha+1) - 2(\mu^{\rho(\alpha-1)+1} + \mu^{\rho\alpha} |\beta|)} \right)} \\
&\quad + \frac{2\mu^{\rho\alpha} |\beta| R}{\rho^\alpha \Gamma(\alpha+1) - 2\mu^{\rho(\alpha-1)+1}} \\
&\leq \frac{R(\rho^\alpha \Gamma(\alpha+1) - 2(\mu^{\rho(\alpha-1)+1} + \mu^{\rho\alpha} |\beta|))}{\rho^\alpha \Gamma(\alpha+1) - 2\mu^{\rho(\alpha-1)+1}} \\
&\quad + \frac{2\mu^{\rho\alpha} |\beta| R}{\rho^\alpha \Gamma(\alpha+1) - 2\mu^{\rho(\alpha-1)+1}} \\
&\leq R.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus $T(E_R) \subset E_R$, hence $T(E_R)$ is bounded.

CLAIM 3: $T(E_R)$ is relatively compact.

Let $f \in E_R$, $\eta_1, \eta_2 \in [0, \mu]$ with $\eta_1 < \eta_2$, by (27), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&|Tf(\eta_1) - Tf(\eta_2)| \\
&= \left| \frac{\rho^{1-\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^{\eta_1} (\eta_1^\rho - \zeta^\rho)^{\alpha-1} \zeta^{\rho-1} \left(\beta f(\zeta) - \frac{\zeta}{\alpha\rho} f'(\zeta) \right) d\zeta \right. \\
&\quad + \frac{\rho^{1-\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{\eta_1}^\mu (\zeta^\rho - \eta_1^\rho)^{\alpha-1} \zeta^{\rho-1} \left(\beta f(\zeta) - \frac{\zeta}{\alpha\rho} f'(\zeta) \right) d\zeta \\
&\quad - \frac{\rho^{1-\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^{\eta_2} (\eta_2^\rho - \zeta^\rho)^{\alpha-1} \zeta^{\rho-1} \left(\beta f(\zeta) - \frac{\zeta}{\alpha\rho} f'(\zeta) \right) d\zeta \\
&\quad \left. - \frac{\rho^{1-\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{\eta_2}^\mu (\zeta^\rho - \eta_2^\rho)^{\alpha-1} \zeta^{\rho-1} \left(\beta f(\zeta) - \frac{\zeta}{\alpha\rho} f'(\zeta) \right) d\zeta \right|
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \frac{\rho^{1-\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^{\eta_1} |[\zeta^{\rho-1}(\eta_1^\rho - \zeta^\rho)^{\alpha-1} - \zeta^{\rho-1}(\eta_2^\rho - \zeta^\rho)^{\alpha-1}]| |{}^{RC}D_\mu^{\alpha,\rho} f(\zeta)| d\zeta \\
&\quad + \frac{\rho^{1-\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{\eta_2}^\mu |[\zeta^{\rho-1}(\zeta^\rho - \eta_1^\rho)^{\alpha-1} - \zeta^{\rho-1}(\zeta^\rho - \eta_2^\rho)^{\alpha-1}]| |{}^{RC}D_\mu^{\alpha,\rho} f(\zeta)| d\zeta \\
&\quad + \frac{\rho^{1-\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{\eta_1}^{\eta_2} \zeta^{\rho-1}(\eta_2^\rho - \zeta^\rho)^{\alpha-1} |{}^{RC}D_\mu^{\alpha,\rho} f(\zeta)| d\zeta \\
&\quad + \frac{\rho^{1-\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{\eta_1}^{\eta_2} \zeta^{\rho-1}(\zeta^\rho - \eta_1^\rho)^{\alpha-1} |{}^{RC}D_\mu^{\alpha,\rho} f(\zeta)| d\zeta \tag{28} \\
&\leq \frac{\rho^{1-\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \frac{|\beta| \rho^\alpha \Gamma(\alpha+1)}{\rho^\alpha \Gamma(\alpha+1) - 2\mu^{\rho(\alpha-1)+1}} R \\
&\quad \times \left(\int_0^{\eta_1} |[\zeta^{\rho-1}(\eta_1^\rho - \zeta^\rho)^{\alpha-1} - \zeta^{\rho-1}(\eta_2^\rho - \zeta^\rho)^{\alpha-1}]| d\zeta \right. \\
&\quad + \int_{\eta_2}^\mu |[\zeta^{\rho-1}(\zeta^\rho - \eta_1^\rho)^{\alpha-1} - \zeta^{\rho-1}(\zeta^\rho - \eta_2^\rho)^{\alpha-1}]| d\zeta \\
&\quad \left. + \int_{\eta_1}^{\eta_2} \zeta^{\rho-1}(\eta_2^\rho - \zeta^\rho)^{\alpha-1} d\zeta + \int_{\eta_1}^{\eta_2} \zeta^{\rho-1}(\zeta^\rho - \eta_1^\rho)^{\alpha-1} d\zeta \right).
\end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\zeta^{\rho-1}(\eta_1^\rho - \zeta^\rho)^{\alpha-1} - \zeta^{\rho-1}(\eta_2^\rho - \zeta^\rho)^{\alpha-1} = -\frac{1}{\alpha\rho} \frac{d}{d\zeta} [(\eta_1^\rho - \zeta^\rho)^\alpha - (\eta_2^\rho - \zeta^\rho)^\alpha]$$

and

$$\zeta^{\rho-1}(\zeta^\rho - \eta_1^\rho)^{\alpha-1} - \zeta^{\rho-1}(\zeta^\rho - \eta_2^\rho)^{\alpha-1} = \frac{1}{\alpha\rho} \frac{d}{d\zeta} [(\zeta^\rho - \eta_1^\rho)^\alpha - (\zeta^\rho - \eta_2^\rho)^\alpha],$$

then

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^{\eta_1} |[\zeta^{\rho-1}(\eta_1^\rho - \zeta^\rho)^{\alpha-1} - \zeta^{\rho-1}(\eta_2^\rho - \zeta^\rho)^{\alpha-1}]| d\zeta \\
&\leq \frac{1}{\alpha\rho} [(\eta_2^\rho - \eta_1^\rho)^\alpha + (\eta_2^{\rho\alpha} - \eta_1^{\rho\alpha})] \tag{29}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\eta_2}^\mu |[\zeta^{\rho-1}(\zeta^\rho - \eta_1^\rho)^{\alpha-1} - \zeta^{\rho-1}(\zeta^\rho - \eta_2^\rho)^{\alpha-1}]| d\zeta \\
&\leq \frac{1}{\alpha\rho} [(\mu^\rho - \eta_1^\rho)^\alpha - (\eta_2^\rho - \eta_1^\rho)^\alpha - (\mu^\rho - \eta_2^\rho)^\alpha], \tag{30}
\end{aligned}$$

we have also

$$\int_{\eta_1}^{\eta_2} \zeta^{\rho-1}(\eta_2^\rho - \zeta^\rho)^{\alpha-1} d\zeta = -\frac{1}{\alpha\rho} [(\eta_2^\rho - \zeta^\rho)^\alpha]_{\eta_1}^{\eta_2} = \frac{1}{\alpha\rho} (\eta_2^\rho - \eta_1^\rho)^\alpha, \tag{31}$$

and

$$\int_{\eta_1}^{\eta_2} \zeta^{\rho-1}(\zeta^\rho - \eta_1^\rho)^{\alpha-1} d\zeta = \frac{1}{\alpha\rho} [(\zeta^\rho - \eta_1^\rho)^\alpha]_{\eta_1}^{\eta_2} = \frac{1}{\alpha\rho} (\eta_2^\rho - \eta_1^\rho)^\alpha. \tag{32}$$

By substituting (29), (30), (31) and (32) in (28), we obtain

$$|Tf(\eta_1) - Tf(\eta_2)| \leq \frac{|\beta|R}{\rho^\alpha \Gamma(\alpha + 1) - 2\mu^{\rho(\alpha-1)+1}} \\ \times [(\mu^\rho - \eta_1^\rho)^\alpha + 2(\eta_2^\rho - \eta_1^\rho)^\alpha + (\eta_2^{\rho\alpha} - \eta_1^{\rho\alpha}) - (\mu^\rho - \eta_2^\rho)^\alpha].$$

So, the right-hand side of the above inequality tends to zero as $\eta_2 \rightarrow \eta_1$. Hence, we obtain that $T(E_R)$ is equicontinuous. Therefore, combining claims 1 to 3 and by the means of the Ascoli-Arzelà Theorem 2.12, we get that $T: E_R \rightarrow E_R$ is continuous and relatively compact. As a consequence, Schauder's Fixed Point Theorem assures the existence of at least one fixed point of operator (23) which is the solution of the problem (15)–(16).

Finally, using the fixed point theorem of Leray-Schauder, we will derive the conditions of the solutions' existence.

THEOREM 3.7

Let $\alpha, \beta, \rho, \mu \in \mathbb{R}$, provided that $1 < \alpha \leq 2$, $\rho > 0$ and $\mu \in (0, (\frac{\rho^\alpha \Gamma(\alpha+1)}{2})^{\frac{1}{\rho(\alpha-1)+1}})$. Then, the problem (15)–(16) admits at least one solution on $[0, \mu]$.

Proof. Consider the operator T defined in (23). Then we shall show that all assumption of Leray-Schauder Fixed Point Theorem 2.16 are satisfied by the operator T . The proof will be divided to four claims.

CLAIM 1: It is clear that T is continuous.

CLAIM 2: T maps bounded sets into bounded sets in E .

Actually, it is enough to show that for any $\theta > 0$, there exists $N > 0$ such that for each $f \in D_\theta = \{f \in E : \|f\|_\infty \leq \theta\}$, we have $\|Tf\|_\infty \leq N$. Let $f \in D_\theta$ for each $\eta \in [0, \mu]$, we have

$$|Tf(\eta)| \leq |w| + \frac{\rho^{1-\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^\eta (\eta^\rho - \zeta^\rho)^{\alpha-1} \zeta^{\rho-1} \left| \beta f(\zeta) - \frac{\zeta}{\alpha\rho} f'(\zeta) \right| d\zeta \\ + \frac{\rho^{1-\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_\eta^\mu (\zeta^\rho - \eta^\rho)^{\alpha-1} \zeta^{\rho-1} \left| \beta f(\zeta) - \frac{\zeta}{\alpha\rho} f'(\zeta) \right| d\zeta \quad (33)$$

As a similar way as in (27), we have

$$\left| \beta f(\eta) - \frac{\eta}{\alpha\rho} f'(\eta) \right| \leq \frac{|\beta| \rho^\alpha \Gamma(\alpha + 1)}{\rho^\alpha \Gamma(\alpha + 1) - 2\mu^{\rho(\alpha-1)+1}} \theta.$$

Therefore, (33) implies that

$$\|Tf\|_\infty \leq |w| + \frac{2\mu^{\rho\alpha} |\beta|}{\rho^\alpha \Gamma(\alpha + 1) - 2\mu^{\rho(\alpha-1)+1}} \theta = N.$$

CLAIM 3: It is clear that T maps bounded sets into equicontinuous sets of E . From Claim1-Claim3, we conclude that $T: E \rightarrow E$ is continuous and completely continuous.

CLAIM 4: A priori bounds.

Now, we show that there exists an open set $H \subset E$ with $f \neq \lambda T(f)$ for some $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ and $f \in \partial H$.

Let $f \in E$ and $f = \lambda T(f)$ for $0 < \lambda < 1$. Then, we have for each $\eta \in [0, \mu]$,

$$\begin{aligned}
|f(\eta)| &= |\lambda T f(\eta)| \\
&= \left| \lambda w + \frac{\lambda \rho^{1-\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^\mu |(\eta^\rho - \zeta^\rho)|^{\alpha-1} \zeta^{\rho-1} \left(\beta f(\zeta) - \frac{\zeta}{\alpha \rho} f'(\zeta) \right) d\zeta \right| \\
&\leq |w| + \frac{\rho^{1-\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^\eta (\eta^\rho - \zeta^\rho)^{\alpha-1} \zeta^{\rho-1} \left| \beta f(\zeta) - \frac{\zeta}{\alpha \rho} f'(\zeta) \right| d\zeta \\
&\quad + \frac{\rho^{1-\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_\eta^\mu (\zeta^\rho - \eta^\rho)^{\alpha-1} \zeta^{\rho-1} \left| \beta f(\zeta) - \frac{\zeta}{\alpha \rho} f'(\zeta) \right| d\zeta \tag{34} \\
&\leq |w| + \frac{\rho^{1-\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^\eta (\eta^\rho - \zeta^\rho)^{\alpha-1} \zeta^{\rho-1} |{}^{RC}D_\mu^{\alpha, \rho} f(\zeta)| d\zeta \\
&\quad + \frac{\rho^{1-\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_\eta^\mu (\zeta^\rho - \eta^\rho)^{\alpha-1} \zeta^{\rho-1} |{}^{RC}D_\mu^{\alpha, \rho} f(\zeta)| d\zeta.
\end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
|{}^{RC}D_\mu^{\alpha, \rho} f(\eta)| &= \left| \beta f(\zeta) - \frac{\zeta}{\alpha \rho} f'(\zeta) \right| \leq |\beta| |f(\eta)| + \frac{\mu}{\alpha \rho} |f'(\eta)| \\
&\leq |\beta| |f(\eta)| + \frac{2\mu^{\rho(\alpha-1)+1}}{\rho^\alpha \Gamma(\alpha+1)} \sup_{0 \leq \eta \leq \mu} |{}^{RC}D_\mu^{\alpha, \rho} f(\eta)|,
\end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\sup_{0 \leq \eta \leq \mu} |{}^{RC}D_\mu^{\alpha, \rho} f(\eta)| \leq \frac{|\beta| \rho^\alpha \Gamma(\alpha+1)}{\rho^\alpha \Gamma(\alpha+1) - 2\mu^{\rho(\alpha-1)+1}} \sup_{0 \leq \eta \leq \mu} |f(\eta)|.$$

Thus, (34) gives

$$\begin{aligned}
\sup_{0 \leq \eta \leq \mu} |f(\eta)| &\leq |w| + \frac{|\beta| \rho^\alpha \Gamma(\alpha+1)}{\Gamma(\alpha) [\rho^\alpha \Gamma(\alpha+1) - 2\mu^{\rho(\alpha-1)+1}]} \\
&\quad \times \left[\rho^{1-\alpha} \int_0^\eta \zeta^{\rho-1} (\eta^\rho - \zeta^\rho)^{\alpha-1} \sup_{0 \leq \eta \leq \mu} |f(\zeta)| d\zeta \tag{35} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \rho^{1-\alpha} \int_\eta^\mu \zeta^{\rho-1} (\zeta^\rho - \eta^\rho)^{\alpha-1} \sup_{0 \leq \eta \leq \mu} |f(\zeta)| d\zeta \right].
\end{aligned}$$

By using the generalized Gronwall Lemma 2.13, (35) can be re-written as

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sup_{0 \leq \eta \leq \mu} |f(\eta)| \\
&\leq |w| E_{\alpha, 1} \left(\rho^{-\alpha} \Gamma(\alpha) (\mu^\rho - \eta^\rho)^\alpha \left(\frac{|\beta| \rho^\alpha \Gamma(\alpha+1)}{\Gamma(\alpha) (\rho^\alpha \Gamma(\alpha+1) - 2\mu^{\rho(\alpha-1)+1})} \right) \right) \\
&\quad \times E_{\alpha, 1} \left(\rho^{-\alpha} \Gamma(\alpha) \eta^{\rho\alpha} \left(\frac{|\beta| \rho^\alpha \Gamma(\alpha+1)}{\Gamma(\alpha) (\rho^\alpha \Gamma(\alpha+1) - 2\mu^{\rho(\alpha-1)+1})} \right) \right),
\end{aligned}$$

which can be simplified to

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_\infty &\leq |w|E_{\alpha,1}\left(\frac{(\mu^\rho - \eta^\rho)^\alpha |\beta| \Gamma(\alpha + 1)}{\rho^\alpha \Gamma(\alpha + 1) - 2\mu^{\rho(\alpha-1)+1}}\right)E_{\alpha,1}\left(\frac{\eta^{\rho\alpha} |\beta| \Gamma(\alpha + 1)}{\rho^\alpha \Gamma(\alpha + 1) - 2\mu^{\rho(\alpha-1)+1}}\right) \\ &= N_1. \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$H = \{f \in E : \|f\|_\infty < N_1 + 1\}.$$

By choosing of H , there is no $f \in \partial H$, such that $f = \lambda T(f)$ for some $\lambda \in (0, 1)$. Consequently, by the nonlinear alternative of Leray-Schauder's Fixed Point Theorem 2.16, the operator T has a fixed point f in H , which is a solution to the problem (15)–(16) on $[0, \mu]$.

Now, we prove the principal theorems.

3.3. Existence results to the original problem

In this subsection, we demonstrate the existence and uniqueness of solutions of the following space-fractional diffusion equation

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u(x, t)}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial^{\alpha, \rho} u(x, t)}{\partial |x|^\alpha}, & (x, t) \in [0, X] \times [t_0, \infty[, \\ u(0, t) + u(X, t) = t^\beta M, & t \in [t_0, \infty[, \\ \frac{\partial u(0, t)}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial u(X, t)}{\partial x} = 0, & t \in [t_0, \infty[. \end{cases} \quad (36)$$

where $1 < \alpha \leq 2$ and $\beta, M \in \mathbb{R}$. Under the self-similar solution form, which is

$$u(x, t) = t^\beta f(\eta) \quad \text{with } \eta = \frac{x}{t^{\frac{1}{\alpha\rho}}}. \quad (37)$$

THEOREM 3.8

Let $\alpha, \beta, \rho, X, t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, provided that $1 < \alpha \leq 2$, $\rho, t_0 > 0$ and

$$X \in \left(0, \left(\frac{t_0^{\frac{\rho(\alpha-1)+1}{\rho\alpha}} \rho^\alpha \Gamma(\alpha + 1)}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{\rho(\alpha-1)+1}}\right).$$

If

$$\frac{2X^{\rho\alpha} |\beta|}{t_0 \rho^\alpha \Gamma(\alpha + 1) - 2X^{\rho(\alpha-1)+1} t_0^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho\alpha}}} < 1. \quad (38)$$

Then, for $f \in E$, (36) has a unique solution in the self-similar form (37).

Proof. The transformation (37) reduces the space-fractional diffusion equation (36) to the ordinary fractional differential equation of the following form

$${}^{RC}D_\mu^{\alpha, \rho} f(\eta) = \beta f(\eta) - \frac{1}{\alpha\rho} \eta f'(\eta), \quad (39)$$

where

$$\mu = X t_0^{\frac{-1}{\alpha\rho}} \quad \text{and} \quad X \in \left(0, \left(\frac{t_0^{\frac{\rho(\alpha-1)+1}{\rho\alpha}} \rho^\alpha \Gamma(\alpha+1)}{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{\rho(\alpha-1)+1}} \right),$$

with the conditions

$$f(0) + f(\mu) = M, \quad f'(0) + f'(\mu) = 0. \quad (40)$$

Let $f \in E$ be a continuous function. By using (37), the condition (38) is equivalent to (22), which is

$$\frac{2\mu^{\rho\alpha}|\beta|}{\rho^\alpha \Gamma(\alpha+1) - 2\mu^{\rho(\alpha-1)+1}} < 1. \quad (41)$$

We already proved in Theorem 3.5, the existence and uniqueness of a solution of the problem (39)–(40) such that (41) is satisfied. As a consequence, there exists a unique solution of the problem (36) under the self-similar form (37) provided that (38) holds.

REMARK 3.9

When $\rho \rightarrow 1$, (38) reduces to

$$\frac{2X^\alpha|\beta|}{t_0\Gamma(\alpha+1) - 2X^\alpha} < 1, \quad (42)$$

which represents the standard Riesz-Caputo derivative case. When we let $\alpha = 2$ in (42), we get

$$\frac{2X^2|\beta|}{t_0\Gamma(3) - 2X^2} < 1, \quad (43)$$

which gives the integer-order derivative case of the space-fractional diffusion equation in (1).

THEOREM 3.10

Let $\alpha, \beta, \rho, X, t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, provided that $1 < \alpha \leq 2$ and $\rho, t_0, X > 0$. If

$$\frac{X^{\rho(\alpha-1)+1} t_0^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho\alpha}} + X^{\rho\alpha}|\beta|}{t_0 \rho^\alpha \Gamma(\alpha+1)} < \frac{1}{2}. \quad (44)$$

Then, for $f \in E_R$, (36) has at least one solution in the self-similar form (37).

Proof. By considering Theorem 3.6, and using the same steps followed in the proof of Theorem 3.8, we can prove that (36) has at least one solution in the self-similar form (37), if (44) is satisfied.

REMARK 3.11

When $\rho \rightarrow 1$, (44) reduces to

$$\frac{X^\alpha(1+|\beta|)}{t_0\Gamma(\alpha+1)} < \frac{1}{2}, \quad (45)$$

which represents the standard Riesz-Caputo derivative case. When we let $\alpha = 2$ in (45), we get

$$\frac{X^2(1 + |\beta|)}{t_0\Gamma(3)} < \frac{1}{2},$$

which gives the integer-order derivative case of the space-fractional diffusion equation in (1).

THEOREM 3.12

Let $\alpha, \beta, \rho, X, t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, provided that $1 < \alpha \leq 2$, $\rho, t_0 > 0$ and let

$$X \in \left(0, \left(\frac{t_0^{\frac{\rho(\alpha-1)+1}{\rho\alpha}} \rho^\alpha \Gamma(\alpha+1)}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{\rho(\alpha-1)+1}}\right).$$

Then, for $f \in E$, (36) has at least one solution in the self-similar form (37).

Proof. Based on Theorem 3.7, and using the same steps followed in the proof of Theorem 3.8, we can prove the existence of at least one solution of the problem (36) in the self-similar form (37).

4. Conclusion

In this paper, we have carried out a theoretical study concerning the space-fractional diffusion equation with anti-periodic boundary conditions. Using special transformation (the self-similar form (12)), we first reduced the considered FPDE to the FODE (see Theorem 3.1) and then we have applied some fixed point theorems (Banach's contraction principle, Schauder's fixed point theorem and the nonlinear alternative of Leray-Schauder type) to this ODE to prove the existence and uniqueness results and thus, the existence and uniqueness results to the original problem. The differential operator we have considered is the Riesz-Caputo generalized fractional derivative, so the Riesz-Caputo and Riesz-Caputo Hadamard fractional derivatives can be considered as particular cases from our generalized problem. This study serves as a new way for the researchers to discuss interesting problems in fractional differential and integral calculus.

References

- [1] Aleem, Maryam, et al. "On solutions of nonlinear BVPs with general boundary conditions by using a generalized Riesz-Caputo operator." *Adv. Difference Equ.* (2021): Paper No. 303. Cited on 50, 52, 53 and 54.
- [2] Almeida Ricardo. "A Gronwall inequality for a general Caputo fractional operator." *Arxiv* (2017): arxiv.org/pdf/1705.10079.pdf. Cited on 51 and 52.
- [3] Almeida, Ricardo, Agnieszka B. Malinowska, and Tatiana Odziejewicz. "Fractional differential equations with dependence on the Caputo-Katugampola derivative." *J. Comput. Nonlinear Dynam.* 11, no. 6 (2016): Paper No. 061017. Cited on 50.
- [4] Arioua, Yacine, Bilal Basti, and Nouredine Benhamidouche. "Initial value problem for nonlinear implicit fractional differential equations with Katugampola derivative." *Appl. Math. E-Notes* 19 (2019): 397-412. Cited on 50.

- [5] Arioua, Yacine, and Maria Titraoui. "Boundary value problem for a coupled system of nonlinear fractional differential equations involving Erdélyi-Kober derivative." *Appl. Math. E-Notes* 21 (2021): 291-306. Cited on 50.
- [6] Arioua, Yacine, and Li Ma. "On criteria of existence for nonlinear Katugampola fractional differential equations with p -Laplacian operator." *Fract. Differ. Calc.* 11, no. 1 (2021): 55-68. Cited on 50.
- [7] Baleanu, Dumitru I., Octavian G. Mustafa, and Ravi Prakash Agrawal. "On the solution set for a class of sequential fractional differential equations." *J. Phys. A* 43, no. 38 (2010): Art. No. 385209. Cited on 49.
- [8] Basti, Bilal, Yacine Arioua, and Nouredine Benhamidouche. "Existence and uniqueness of solutions for nonlinear Katugampola fractional differential equations." *J. Math. Appl.* 42 (2019): 35-61. Cited on 50.
- [9] Basti, Bilal, Yacine Arioua, and Nouredine Benhamidouche. "Existence results for nonlinear Katugampola fractional differential equations with an integral condition." *Acta Math. Univ. Comenian. (N.S.)* 89, no. 2 (2020): 243-260. Cited on 50.
- [10] Basti, Bilal, and Nouredine Benhamidouche. "Existence results of self-similar solutions to the Caputo-type's space-fractional heat equation." *Surv. Math. Appl.* 15 (2020): 153-168. Cited on 50.
- [11] Boucenna, Djalal, Abdellatif Ben Makhlouf, and Mohamed Ali Hammami. "On Katugampola fractional order derivatives and Darboux problem for differential equations." *Cubo* 22, no. 1 (2020): 125-136. Cited on 50.
- [12] Bouchama, Kaouther, Yacine Arioua, and Abdelkrim Merzougui. "The numerical solution of the space-time fractional diffusion equation involving the Caputo-Katugampola fractional derivative." *Numer. Algebra Control Optim.* 12, no. 3 (2022): 621-636. Cited on 50.
- [13] Cernea, Aurelian. "On the solutions of a class of fractional hyperbolic integro-differential inclusions." *Int. J. Anal. Appl.* 17, no. 6 (2019), 904-916. Cited on 50.
- [14] Buckwar, Evelyn, and Yuri F. Luchko. "Invariance of a partial differential equation of fractional order under the Lie group of scaling transformations." *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 227, no. 1 (1998): 81-97. Cited on 50.
- [15] Deng, Keng, and Howard A. Levine. "The role of critical exponents in blow-up theorems: the sequel." *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 243, no. 1 (2000): 85-126. Cited on 55.
- [16] El-Shahed, Moustafa. "Positive solutions for boundary value problem of nonlinear fractional differential equation." *Abstr. Appl. Anal.* (2007): Art. ID 10368. Cited on 54.
- [17] Al Musalhi, Fatma S. K., and Erkinjon Tulkinovich Karimov. "On self-similar solutions of time and space fractional sub-diffusion equations." *Int. J. Optim. Control. Theor. Appl. IJOCTA* 11, no. 3 (2021): 16-27. Cited on 50.
- [18] Hale, Jack K., and Sjoerd M. Verduyn Lunel. *Introduction to functional differential equations*. Vol. 99 of *Applied Mathematical Sciences*. New York:Springer-Verlag, 1993. Cited on 54.
- [19] He, Ji-Huan. "Approximate analytical solution for seepage flow with fractional derivatives in porous media." *Comput. Methods Appl. Mech. Engrg.* 167, no. 1-2 (1998): 57-68. Cited on 50.

- [20] Granas, Andrzej and James Dugundji. *Fixed Point Theory. Springer Monographs in Mathematics*. New York: Springer-Verlag, 2003. Cited on 55.
- [21] Guo, Tian Liang, and Kanjian Zhang. "Impulsive fractional partial differential equations." *Appl. Math. Comput.* 257 (2015): 581-590. Cited on 50.
- [22] Karimov, Erkinjon Tulkinovich. "Frankl-type problem for a mixed type equation with the Caputo fractional derivative." *Lobachevskii J. Math.* 41, no. 9 (2020): 1829-1836. Cited on 50.
- [23] Katugampola, Udit N. "New approach to a generalized fractional integral." *Appl. Math. Comput.* 218, no. 3 (2011): 860-865. Cited on 50 and 51.
- [24] Katugampola, Udit N. "A new approach to generalized fractional derivatives." *Bull. Math. Anal. Appl.* 6, no. 4 (2014): 1-15. Cited on 50.
- [25] Kilbas, Anatoly Aleksandrovich. "Partial fractional differential equations and some of their applications." *Analysis (Munich)* 30, no. 1 (2010): 35-66. Cited on 50.
- [26] Kilbas, Anatoly Aleksandrovich, Hari Mohan Srivastava, and Juan J. Trujillo. *Theory and Applications of Fractional Differential Equations*. Vol. 204 of *North-Holland Mathematics Studies*. Amsterdam: Elsevier Science B.V, 2006. Cited on 49 and 51.
- [27] Luchko, Yurii, and Rudolf Gorenflo. "Scale-invariant solutions of a partial differential equation of fractional order." *Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal.* 1, no. 1 (1998): 63-78. Cited on 50.
- [28] Liu, Jun Yi, and Ming Yu Xu. "An exact solution to the moving boundary problem with fractional anomalous diffusion in drug release devices." *ZAMM Z. Angew. Math. Mech.* 84, no. 1 (2004): 22-28. Cited on 50.
- [29] Mainardi, Francesco. "The time fractional diffusion-wave equation." *Izv. Vyssh. Uchebn. Zaved. Radiofiz.* 38, no. 1-2 (1995): 20-36; reprinted in *Radiophys. and Quantum Electronics* 38, no. 1-2 (1996): 13-24. Cited on 50.
- [30] Marasi, Hamid Reza, Hojjat Afshari, and Chengbo Zhai. "Some existence and uniqueness results for nonlinear fractional partial differential equations." *Rocky Mountain J. Math.* 47, no. 2 (2017): 571-585. Cited on 50.
- [31] Miller, Kenneth S., and Bertram Ross. *An introduction to the fractional calculus and fractional differential equations* New York: John Wiley & sons Inc., 1993. Cited on 49.
- [32] Oliveira, Daniela dos Santos, and Edmundo Capelas de Oliveira. "On a Caputo-type fractional derivative." *Adv. Pure Appl. Math.* 10, no. 2 (2019): 81-91. Cited on 52.
- [33] Podlubny, Igor. *Fractional differential equations*, Vol. 198 of *Mathematics in Science and Engineering*. San Diego: Academic Press, 1999. Cited on 50.
- [34] Titraoui, Maria, and Yacine Arioua. "Boundary value problem for nonlinear fractional differential equations involving Erdélyi-Kober derivative on unbounded domain." *An. Univ. Craiova Ser. Mat. Inform.* 48, no. 1 (2021): 18-31. Cited on 50.

[74]

N. Ouagueni, Y. Arioua and N. Benhamidouche

Nora Ouagueni

Yacine Arioua

Noureddine Benhamidouche

Department of Mathematics

Université de M'sila

BP 166, 28000 M'sila

Algeria

E-mail: nora.ouagueni@univ-msila.dz

yacine.arioua@univ-msila.dz

noureddine.benhamidouche@univ-msila.dz

*Received: December 27, 2022; final version: April 3, 2023;
available online: May 29, 2023.*

E-notes_2022

<https://www.emis.de/journals/AMEN/2022/AMEN-210702.pdf>

Studia_Mathematica_2023

<https://studmath.up.krakow.pl/article/view/10338>